#### Lecture 4: Decision theory

- The Likelihood Ratio Test
- The Probability of Error
- The Bayes Risk
- Bayes, MAP and ML Criterion
- Multi-class problems
- Discriminant Functions



## Likelihood Ratio Test (LRT)

- Given the problem of classifying a given measurement x, a 'reasonable' heuristic decision rule would be:
  - Choose the class that is more 'likely' given the evidence provided by the measured feature x
    - More formally: Evaluate the posterior probability of each class  $P(\omega_i|x)$  and choose the class with largest  $P(\omega_i|x)$
- Let us examine the consequences of this decision rule for a 2-class problem
  - In this case the decision rule becomes

$$f P(\omega_1 | x) > P(\omega_2 | x)$$
 choose  $\omega_1$ 

else choose $\omega_2$ 

• Or, in a more compact form

 $\mathsf{P}(\boldsymbol{\omega}_1 \mid \mathbf{x}) \underset{\boldsymbol{\omega}_2}{\overset{\boldsymbol{\omega}_1}{\gtrless}} \mathsf{P}(\boldsymbol{\omega}_2 \mid \mathbf{x})$ 

• Applying Bayes Rule

$$\frac{\mathsf{P}(x \mid \omega_1)\mathsf{P}(\omega_1)}{\mathsf{P}(x)} \underset{\omega_2}{\overset{\omega_1}{\geq}} \frac{\mathsf{P}(x \mid \omega_2)\mathsf{P}(\omega_2)}{\mathsf{P}(x)}$$

P(x) does not affect the decision rule so it can be eliminated\*. Rearranging the preceding expression

$$\Lambda(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\mathsf{P}(\mathbf{x} \mid \boldsymbol{\omega}_1)}{\mathsf{P}(\mathbf{x} \mid \boldsymbol{\omega}_2)} \overset{\omega_1}{\gtrsim} \frac{\mathsf{P}(\boldsymbol{\omega}_2)}{\mathsf{P}(\boldsymbol{\omega}_1)}$$

The term Λ(x) is called the likelihood ratio, and the decision rule is known as the likelihood ratio test

\*P(x) can be disregarded in the decision rule since it is constant regardless of class  $\omega_i$ . However, P(x) will be needed if we want to estimate the posterior P( $\omega_i | x$ ) which, unlike P( $x | \omega_i$ )P(x), is a true probability value and, therefore, gives us an estimate of the "goodness" of our decision.



## Likelihood Ratio Test: an example

 Given a classification problem with the following class conditional densities, derive a decision rule based on the Likelihood Ratio Test (assume <u>equal priors</u>)

$$P(x | \omega_{1}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x-4)^{2}} \qquad P(x | \omega_{2}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x-10)^{2}}$$
**Solution**
• Substituting the given likelihoods and priors into the LRT expression: 
$$\Lambda(x) = \frac{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x-4)^{2}}}{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x-10)^{2}}} \int_{\omega_{2}}^{\omega_{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x-10)^{2}} \int_{\omega_{2}^{\omega_{2}}^{\omega_{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x-10)^{2}} \int_{\omega_{2}^{\omega_{2}}^{\omega_{2}}^{\omega_{2}}^{\omega_{2}}^{\omega_{2}}^{\omega_{2}}^{\omega_{2}}^{\omega_{2}}^{$$

- This LRT result makes sense from an intuitive point of view since the likelihoods are identical and differ only in their mean value
- How would the LRT decision rule change if, say, the priors were such that  $P(\omega_1)=2P(\omega_2)$ ?



## The probability of error (1)

The performance of any decision rule can be measured by its <u>probability of error</u> P[error] which, making use of the Theorem of total probability (Lecture 2), can be broken up into

$$P[error] = \sum_{i=1}^{C} P[error | \omega_i] P[\omega_i]$$

- The class conditional probability of error P[error  $|\omega_i]$  can be expressed as

P[error 
$$|\omega_i] = P[choose \omega_j |\omega_i] = \int_{B_i} P(x |\omega_i) dx$$

So, for our 2-class problem, the probability of error becomes

$$P[error] = P[\omega_1] \int_{\mathbb{R}_2} P(x \mid \omega_1) dx + P[\omega_2] \int_{\mathbb{R}_1} P(x \mid \omega_2) dx$$

- where  $\epsilon_i$  is the integral of the likelihood P(x| $\omega_i$ ) over the region R<sub>j</sub> where we choose  $\omega_j$
- For the decision rule of the previous example, the integrals  $\epsilon_1$  and  $\epsilon_2$  are depicted below
  - Since we assumed equal priors, then  $P[error] = (\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2)/2$



#### Compute the probability for the example above



## The probability of error (2)

- Now that we can measure the performance of a decision rule we can ask the following question: <u>How good is the Likelihood Ratio Test decision rule</u>?
  - For this purpose it is convenient to express P[error] in terms of the posterior P[error|x]

$$P[error] = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} P[error \mid x] P(x) dx$$

- The optimal decision rule will minimize P[error|x] for every value of x, so that the integral above is minimized
- At each point x', P[error|x'] is equal to  $P[\omega_i|x']$  when we chose  $\omega_i$ 
  - This is depicted in the following figure:



- From the figure it becomes clear that, for any value of x', the Likelihood Ratio Test decision rule will always have a lower P[error|x']
  - Therefore, when we integrate over the real line, the LRT decision rule will yield a lower P[error]

For any given problem, the minimum probability of error is achieved by the Likelihood Ratio Test decision rule. This probability of error is called the **Bayes Error Rate** and is the **BEST** any classifier can do.



## The Bayes Risk (1)

- So far we have assumed that the penalty of misclassifying a class  $\omega_1$  example as class  $\omega_2$  is the same as the reciprocal. In general, this is not the case:
  - For example, misclassifying a cancer sufferer as a healthy patient is a much more serious problem than the other way around
- This concept can be formalized in terms of a cost function C<sub>ii</sub>
  - $C_{ii}$  represents the cost choosing class  $\omega_i$  when class  $\omega_i$  is the true class
- We define the <u>Bayes Risk</u> as the expected value of the cost

$$\mathfrak{R} = \mathsf{E}[\mathsf{C}] = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{2} \mathsf{C}_{ij} \cdot \mathsf{P}[\mathsf{choose} \ \omega_i \ \text{and} \ x \in \omega_j] = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{2} \mathsf{C}_{ij} \cdot \mathsf{P}[x \in \mathsf{R}_i \mid \omega_j] \cdot \mathsf{P}[\omega_j]$$

- What is the decision rule that minimizes the Bayes Risk?
  - First notice that

$$P[x \in R_i | \omega_j] = \int_{R_i} P(x | \omega_j) dx$$

• We can express the Bayes Risk as

$$\Re = \int_{\mathsf{R}_1} [\mathsf{C}_{11} \cdot \mathsf{P}[\mathsf{\omega}_1] \cdot \mathsf{P}(\mathsf{x} \mid \mathsf{\omega}_1) + \mathsf{C}_{12} \cdot \mathsf{P}[\mathsf{\omega}_2] \cdot \mathsf{P}(\mathsf{x} \mid \mathsf{\omega}_2)] d\mathsf{x} + \\ \int_{\mathsf{R}_2} [\mathsf{C}_{21} \cdot \mathsf{P}[\mathsf{\omega}_1] \cdot \mathsf{P}(\mathsf{x} \mid \mathsf{\omega}_1) + \mathsf{C}_{22} \cdot \mathsf{P}[\mathsf{\omega}_2] \cdot \mathsf{P}(\mathsf{x} \mid \mathsf{\omega}_2)] d\mathsf{x}$$

• Then we note that for either likelihood one can write:

$$\int_{R_1} P(x \mid \omega_i) dx + \int_{R_2} P(x \mid \omega_i) dx = \int_{R_1 \cup R_2} P(x \mid \omega_i) dx = 1$$



# The Bayes Risk (2)

• Merging the last equation into the Bayes Risk expression yields

$$\begin{split} \Re &= C_{11} P[\omega_1] \int_{R_1} P(x \mid \omega_1) dx + C_{12} P[\omega_2] \int_{R_1} P(x \mid \omega_2) dx + \\ &+ C_{21} P[\omega_1] \int_{R_2} P(x \mid \omega_1) dx + C_{22} P[\omega_2] \int_{R_2} P(x \mid \omega_2) dx + \\ &+ C_{21} P[\omega_1] \int_{R_1} P(x \mid \omega_1) dx + C_{22} P[\omega_2] \int_{R_1} P(x \mid \omega_2) dx + \\ &- C_{21} P[\omega_1] \int_{R_1} P(x \mid \omega_1) dx - C_{22} P[\omega_2] \int_{R_1} P(x \mid \omega_2) dx \end{split}$$

• Now we cancel out all the integrals over R<sub>2</sub>

$$\Re = C_{21} P[\omega_1] + C_{22} P[\omega_2] + (C_{12} - C_{22}) P[\omega_2] \int_{R_1} P(x \mid \omega_2) dx - (C_{21} - C_{11}) P[\omega_1] \int_{R_1} P(x \mid \omega_1) dx$$

 The first two terms are constant as far as out minimization is concerned since they do not depend on R<sub>1</sub>, so we will be seeking a decision region R<sub>1</sub> that minimizes:

$$R_{1} = \operatorname{argmin} \left\{ \int_{R_{1}} [(C_{12} - C_{22})P[\omega_{2}]P(x \mid \omega_{2}) - (C_{21} - C_{11})P[\omega_{1}]P(x \mid \omega_{1})]dx \right\}$$
$$= \operatorname{argmin} \left\{ \int_{R_{1}} g(x)dx \right\}$$



# The Bayes Risk (3)

- Let's forget about the actual expression of g(x) to develop some intuition for what kind of decision region R<sub>1</sub> we are looking for
  - Intuitively, we will select for  $R_1$  those regions that minimize the integral  $\int g(x) dx$ 
    - In other words, those regions where g(x)<0</li>



• So we will choose R<sub>1</sub> such that

$$(C_{_{21}} - C_{_{11}})P[\omega_{_1}]P(x \mid \omega_{_1}) \stackrel{_{\omega_1}}{>} (C_{_{12}} - C_{_{22}})P[\omega_{_2}]P(x \mid \omega_{_2})$$

• And rearranging

$$\frac{P(x \mid \omega_{1})}{P(x \mid \omega_{2})} \stackrel{\omega_{1}}{\stackrel{>}{_{\sim}}} \frac{(C_{12} - C_{22})}{(C_{21} - C_{11})} \frac{P[\omega_{2}]}{P[\omega_{1}]}$$

• Therefore, minimization of the Bayes Risk also leads to a Likelihood Ratio Test



#### The Bayes Risk: an example





Introduction to Pattern Recognition Ricardo Gutierrez-Osuna Wright State University

## Variations of the Likelihood Ratio Test (1)

The LTR decision rule that minimizes the Bayes Risk is commonly called the
 <u>Bayes Criterion</u>

$$\Lambda(x) = \frac{P(x \mid \omega_{1})}{P(x \mid \omega_{2})} \stackrel{\omega_{1}}{\underset{\omega_{2}}{\overset{\omega_{1}}{\overset{(C_{12} - C_{22})}{\overset{(C_{12} - C_{22})}{\overset{(C_{21} - C_{11})}{\overset{(C_{21} - C_{11})}}}} \frac{P[\omega_{2}]}{P[\omega_{1}]} \quad \text{Bayes criterion}$$

Many times we will simply be interested in minimizing the probability of error, which is a special case of the Bayes Criterion that uses the so-called symmetrical or zero-one cost function. This version of the LRT decision rule is referred to as the Maximum A Posteriori Criterion, since it seeks to maximize the posterior P(ω<sub>i</sub>|x)

$$C_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0 & i = j \\ 1 & i \neq j \end{cases} \Rightarrow \Lambda(x) = \frac{P(x \mid \omega_1)}{P(x \mid \omega_2)} \overset{\omega_1}{\underset{\omega_2}{\overset{\otimes}{\rightarrow}}} \frac{P(\omega_2)}{P(\omega_1)} \Leftrightarrow \frac{P(\omega_1 \mid x)}{P(\omega_2 \mid x)} \overset{\omega_1}{\underset{\omega_2}{\overset{\otimes}{\rightarrow}}} 1 & \text{Maximum A Posteriori} \\ \text{(MAP) Criterion} \end{cases}$$

 Finally, for the case of equal priors P[ω<sub>i</sub>]=1/2, and the zero-one cost function the LTR decision rule is called the <u>Maximum Likelihood Criterion</u>, since it will minimize the likelihood P(x|ω<sub>i</sub>)

$$\begin{array}{c} C_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0 & i = j \\ 1 & i \neq j \\ P(\omega_i) = \frac{1}{C} & \forall i \end{cases} \Rightarrow \Lambda(x) = \frac{P(x \mid \omega_1)}{P(x \mid \omega_2)} \underset{\omega_2}{\overset{\omega_1}{\underset{\omega_2}{\rightarrow}} 1 \qquad \text{Maximum Likelihood} \\ (ML) \text{ Criterion} \end{cases}$$



## Variations of the Likelihood Ratio Test (2)

#### Two more decision rules are commonly cited in the related literature

- The <u>Neyman-Pearson Criterion</u>, used in Detection and Estimation Theory, which also leads to an LRT decision rule, fixes one class error probabilities, say ε<sub>1</sub><α, and seeks to minimize the other
  - For instance, for the sea-bass/salmon classification problem of Lecture 1, there may be some kind of
    government regulation that we must not misclassify more than 1% of salmon as sea bass
  - The Neyman-Pearson Criterion is very attractive since it does not require knowledge of priors and cost function
- The <u>Minimax Criterion</u>, used in Game Theory, is derived from the Bayes criterion, and seeks to <u>mini</u>mize the <u>max</u>imum Bayes Risk
  - The Minimax Criterion does nor require knowledge of the priors, but it needs a cost function
- For more information on these methods, the reader is referred to "Detection, Estimation and Modulation Theory", by H.L. van Trees, the classical reference in this field



## Minimum P[error] rule for multi-class problems

- The decision rule that minimizes P[error] generalizes very easily to multi-class problems
  - For clarity in the derivation, the probability of error is better expressed in terms of the probability of making a correct assignment

$$P[error] = 1 - P[correct]$$

• The probability of making a correct assignment is

$$P[correct] = \sum_{i=1}^{C} P(\omega_i) \int_{R_i} P(x \mid \omega_i) dx$$

• The problem of minimizing P[error] is equivalent to that of maximizing P[correct]. Expressing P[correct] in terms of the posteriors:

$$P[correct] = \sum_{i=1}^{C} P(\omega_i) \int_{R_i} P(x \mid \omega_i) dx = \sum_{i=1}^{C} \int_{R_i} P(x \mid \omega_i) P(\omega_i) dx = \sum_{i=1}^{C} \int_{R_i} P(\omega_i \mid x) P(x) dx$$

In order to maximize P[correct], we will have to maximize each of the integrals ℑ<sub>i</sub>. In turn, each integral ℑ<sub>i</sub> will be maximized by choosing the class ω<sub>i</sub> that yields the maximum P[ω<sub>i</sub>|x] ⇒ we will define R<sub>i</sub> to be the region where P[ω<sub>i</sub>|x] is maximum



Therefore, the decision rule that minimizes P[error] is the MAP Criterion



## Minimum Bayes Risk for multi-class problems

- To determine which decision rule yields the minimum Bayes Risk for the multi-class problem we will use a slightly different formulation
  - We will denote by  $\alpha_i$  the decision to choose class  $\omega_i$ ,
  - We will denote by  $\alpha(x)$  the overall decision rule that maps features x into classes  $\omega_i: \alpha(x) \rightarrow \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_C\}$
- The (conditional) risk  $\Re(\alpha_i|\mathbf{x})$  of assigning a feature  $\mathbf{x}$  to class  $\omega_i$  is

$$\Re(\alpha(\mathbf{x}) \rightarrow \alpha_{i}) = \Re(\alpha_{i} \mid \mathbf{x}) = \sum_{j=1}^{C} C_{ij} \mathsf{P}(\omega_{j} \mid \mathbf{x})$$

• And the Bayes Risk associated with the decision rule  $\alpha(\mathbf{x})$  is

$$\Re(\alpha(x)) = \int \Re(\alpha(x) | x) P(x) dx$$

In order to minimize this expression, we will have to minimize the conditional risk ℜ(α(x)|x) at each point x in the feature space, which in turn is equivalent to choosing ω<sub>i</sub> such that ℜ(α<sub>i</sub>|x) is minimum





## **Discriminant functions**

- All the decision rules we have presented in this lecture have the same structure
  - At each point x in feature space choose class  $\omega_i$  which maximizes (or minimizes) some measure  $g_i(x)$
- This structure can be formalized with a set of discriminant functions g<sub>i</sub>(x), i=1..C, and the following decision rule

"assign x to class  $\omega_i$  if  $g_i(x) > g_i(x) \quad \forall j \neq i$ "

Therefore, we can visualize the decision rule as a network or machine that computes C discriminant functions and selects the category corresponding to the largest discriminant. Such network is depicted in the following figure (presented already in Lecture 1)



 Finally, we express the three basic decision rules: Bayes, MAP and ML in terms of Discriminant Functions to show the generality of this formulation

Criterion	<b>Discriminant Function</b>
Bayes	$g_i(x) = -\Re(\alpha_i   x)$
MAP	$g_i(x) = P(\omega_i x)$
ML	$g_i(x) = P(x \omega_i)$

