## CSCE 411-502 Design and Analysis of Algorithms

Spring 2025

Instructor: Dr. Jianer Chen Office: PETR 428 Phone: (979) 845-4259 Email: chen@cse.tamu.edu Office Hours: MW 1:30 pm-3:00 pm Senior Grader: William Kang Phone: (979) 575-9987 Email: rkdvlfah1018@tamu.edu Questions: via phone and email and by appointments

## Assignment #7 (Due April 28)

**1.** Let  $Q_1$ ,  $Q_2$ , and  $Q_3$  be decision problems. Prove: if  $Q_1 \leq_m^p Q_2$  and  $Q_2 \leq_m^p Q_3$ , then  $Q_1 \leq_m^p Q_3$ . You should explain why your reduction from  $Q_1$  to  $Q_3$  runs in time polynomial of the length of the instances of  $Q_1$ .

2. Prove: if an  $\mathcal{NP}$ -hard prolem is solvable in polynomial time, then  $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{NP}$ .

**3.** Using the fact that the INDEPENDENT SET problem is  $\mathcal{NP}$ -complete, prove that the following problem is  $\mathcal{NP}$ -complete:

CLIQUE: Given a graph G and an integer k, is there a set C of k vertices in G such that for every pair v and w of vertices in C, v and w are adjacent in G?

Hints for Question 3:

- 1. To prove that a problem is  $\mathcal{NP}$ -complete, you need to prove (1) the problem is in  $\mathcal{NP}$ , and (2) the problem is  $\mathcal{NP}$ -hard.
- 2. To reduce INDEPENDENT SET to CLIQUE, consider the "completiment graph" co-G of a graph G, where co-G and G have the same set of vertices, and there is an edge between vertices v and w in co-G if and only if there is no edge between vertices v and w in G.

## Definitions.

1.  $\mathcal{P}$  is the collection of all (decision) problems that can be solved in polynomial time. Thus,  $\mathcal{P}$  is the collection of all "easy" problems.

2.  $\mathcal{NP}$  is the collection of all (decision) problems whose solutions, though perhaps not easy to construct, but can be checked in polynomial time.  $\mathcal{NP}$  contains many problems that are not known to be in  $\mathcal{P}$ . Examples include TRAVELING SALES-MAN, SATISFIABILITY, and INDEPENDENT SET. Huge amount of efforts has been paid trying to develop polynomial-time algorithms for these problems, but all failed. A common belief is that these problems are hard and do not belong to  $\mathcal{P}$ , i.e.,  $\mathcal{P} \neq \mathcal{NP}$ .

3.  $Q_1 \leq_m^p Q_2$  means that up to polynomial-time computation,  $Q_1$  is not harder than  $Q_2$ .

4.  $\mathcal{NP}$ -hard problems are those that are not easier than any problems in  $\mathcal{NP}$  (up to polynomial-time computation). Based on the common belief given in 2, an  $\mathcal{NP}$ -hard problem cannot be solved in polynomial time.

## Some things you may want to remember.

1. To show  $Q_1 \leq_m^p Q_2$ , you need to construct a polynomial-time algorithm that computes a function f such that x is a yes-instance of  $Q_1$  if and only if f(x) is a yes-instance of  $Q_2$ .

2. To prove that a problem Q is in  $\mathcal{NP}$ , you need to construct a polynomialtime algorithm A(x, y) such that for any yes-instance  $x_1$  of Q, there is a  $y_1$  such that  $A(x_1, y_1) = 1$ , and for any no-instance  $x_2$  of Q,  $A(x_2, y) = 0$  for all y.

3. To prove that a problem Q is  $\mathcal{NP}$ -hard, you need to pick a problem  $Q_0$  that is known to be  $\mathcal{NP}$ -hard, and show  $Q_0 \leq_m^p Q$ .

4. To prove that a problem Q is  $\mathcal{NP}$ -complete, you need to prove both that Q is  $\mathcal{NP}$ -hard and that Q is in  $\mathcal{NP}$ .

5. You should remember of the definitions of at least the following  $\mathcal{NP}$ -complete problems: SATISFIABILITY, INDEPENDENT SET, VERTEX COVER, and PARTITION.