Intelligent Agents (Ch. 2)

- examples of agents
 - webbots, ticket purchasing, electronic assistant, *Siri*, news filtering, *autonomous vehicles*, printer/copier monitor, Robocup soccer, NPCs in Quake, Halo, Call of Duty...
- agents are a unifying theme for AI
 - use search and knowledge, planning, learning...
 - focus on <u>decision-making</u>
 - must deal with uncertainty, other actors in environment

Characteristics of Agents

- essential characteristics
 - 1. agents are <u>situated</u>:
 - can sense and manipulate an environment that changes over time
 - 2. agents are goal-oriented
 - 3. agents are <u>autonomous</u>
- other common (but not universal) aspects of agents:
 - adaptive (learns from experience)
 - optimizing (rational)
 - social (i.e. cooperative, teamwork, coordination)
 - life-like (e.g. in games, interactions with humans



- policy mapping of states (or histories) to actions
 - π(s)=a
 - $\pi(s_1,...s_t)=a_t$
- Performance measures:
 - utility function, rewards, costs, goals
 - mapping of states (or statesXactions) into R

Rational behavior (rationality)

- rationality: "for each possible percept sequence, a rational agent should select an action that is expected to maximize its performance measure, given the evidence provided by the percept sequence and whatever built-in knowledge the agent has"
- colloquially, being rational means "to do the right thing"



Task Environments

• The architecture or design of an agent is strongly influenced by characteristics of the environment

Discrete	Continuous
Static	Dynamic
Deterministic	Stochastic
Episodic	Sequential
Fully Observable	Partially Observable
Single-Agent	Multi-Agent

(read the definitions and examples in the textbook)

- Reactive/Reflex Agents
 - stimulus-response
 - condition-action lookup table
 - efficient
 - goals are implicit
 - sense-decide-act loop
 - OODA loop (observe-orient-decide-act)







Ghengis (Rodney Brooks, MIT)

> simple reactive controllers



function SIMPLE-REFLEX-AGENT(*percept*) **returns** action static: *rules*, a set of condition-action rules

 $state \leftarrow INTERPRET-INPUT (percept)$ $rule \leftarrow RULE-MATCH (state,rules) - Stop$ Rule $action \leftarrow RULE-ACTION [rule]$ **return** action

Stop after First match. Rules should be prioritized.

A simple reflex agent works by finding a rule whose condition matches the current situation (as defined by the percept) and then doing the action associated with that rule.

- Rule-based Reactive Agents
 - condition-action trigger rules
 - *if carInFrontIsBraking then InitiateBraking*
 - more compact than table
 - issue: how to choose which rule to fire? (if > 1 can fire)
 - must prioritize rules
- implementations
 - if-then-else cascades
 - CLIPS; JESS Java Expert System
 - Subsumption Architecture (Rodney Brooks, MIT)
 - hierarchical design <u>behaviors</u> in layers
 - e.g. obstacle avoidance overrides moving toward goal

- Model-based Agents
 - use local variables to infer and remember unobservable aspects of state of the world



function MODEL-BASED-REFLEX-AGENT (*percept*) **returns** action static: *state*, a description of the current world state *rules*, a set of condition-action rules

```
state ← UPDATE-STATE (state, percept)

rule ← RULE-MATCH (state, rules)

action ← RULE-ACTION [rule]

state ← UPDATE-STATE (state, action) // predict, remember

return action
```

- Knowledge-based Agents
 - knowledge base containing logical rules for:
 - inferring unobservable aspects of state
 - inferring effects of actions
 - inferring what is likely to happen
 - Proactive agents reason about what is going to happen
 - use inference algorithm to decide what to do next, given state and goals
 - use forward/backward chaining, natural deduction, resolution...
 - prove: Percepts \cup KB \cup Goals |= do(α_i) for some action α_i

- Goal-based Agents (Planners)
 - search for plan (sequence of actions) that will transform S_{init} into S_{goal}
 - state-space search (forward from S_{init}, e.g. using A*)
 - goal-regression (backward from S_{goal})
 - reason about effects of actions
 - SATplan, GraphPlan, PartialOrderPlan...



Goal-based agents



note: plans must be maintained on an <u>agenda</u> and carried out over time - these are <u>intentions</u>

- Collaborative Agents (multi-agent systems)
 - competition vs. collaboration
 - "open" agent environment: assume all agents are self-interested (have their own utility function)

Market-based methods for Multi-Agent Systems

- mechanisms to incentivize collaboration
 - <u>contract networks</u> agents make bids to do tasks for each other, negotiate price, make commitments
 - <u>auctions</u> agents bid on resources
 - first-price, second-price, open vs sealed bid, asc vs descending
 - strategy to maximize utility?
 - bidding on *combinations* of resources is more complicated
 - <u>consensus</u> algorithms voting (weight choices by utility)
 - do these mechanisms incentivize agents to be rational and bid their true values; free of exploits and manipulation?
 - efficiency: do these mechanisms maximize social benefit? (sum of utility of outcomes over all agents)

Methods for Collaborative Agents

- Agent Teamwork
 - shared goals, joint intentions
 - assume teammates are not just self-interested
 - teammates can compensate for each other if a team goal is at risk
 - well-defined roles, responsibilities
 - communication among teammates is key
- BDI *modal logic* for representing **B**eliefs, **D**esires (goals), and Intentions (actions) of other agents
 - Bel(self,empty(ammo))
 - ∧**Bel**(teammate,¬empty(ammo))
 - ∧**Goal**(teammate,shoot(gun))
 - → **Tell**(teammate,empty(ammo))

- Utility-based Agents
 - utility function: maps states to real values, quantifies "goodness" of states, $u(s) \rightarrow \Re$
 - agents select actions to maximize utility
 - sometimes payoffs are immediate (think "reactive")
 - othertimes payoffs are delayed:
 - <u>Sequential Decision Problems</u>
 - maximize long-term reward

Markov Decision Problems (MDPs)

- transition function: $T(s,a) \rightarrow S$
 - outcomes of actions
 - could be probabilistic (distribution over successors states)
- reward/cost function: $R(s,a) \rightarrow \Re$
- "plans" are encoded in *policies*
 - mappings from states to actions: $\pi: S \rightarrow A$
 - Markov property: probabilities only depend on current state
- the goal: maximize reward over time
 - long-term discounted reward $\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^t R_{a_t}(s_t, s_{t+1})$

