## Dimensionality Reduction

- Olive slides: Alpaydin
- Numbered blue slides: Haykin, Neural Networks: A

Comprehensive Foundation, Second edition, Prentice-Hall, Upper Saddle River:NJ, 1999.

- Black slides: extra content.


## Why Reduce Dimensionality?

$\square$ Reduces time complexity: Less computation
$\square$ Reduces space complexity: Fewer parameters
$\square$ Saves the cost of observing the feature
$\square$ Simpler models are more robust on small datasets
$\square$ More interpretable; simpler explanation
$\square$ Data visualization (structure, groups, outliers, etc) if plotted in 2 or 3 dimensions

## Feature Selection vs Extraction

$\square$ Feature selection: Choosing $k<d$ important features, ignoring the remaining $d-k$

Subset selection algorithms
$\square$ Feature extraction: Project the
original $x_{i}, i=1, \ldots, d$ dimensions to
new $k<d$ dimensions, $z_{i}, j=1, \ldots, k$

## Subset Selection

$\square$ There are $2^{d}$ subsets of $d$ features
$\square$ Forward search: Add the best feature at each step
$\square$ Set of features $F$ initially $\varnothing$.

- At each iteration, find the best new feature $i=\operatorname{argmin}_{i} E\left(F \cup x_{i}\right)$
$\square$ Add $x_{i}$ to $F$ if $E\left(F \cup x_{i}\right)<E(F)$
$\square$ Hill-climbing $O\left(d^{2}\right)$ algorithm
Backward search: Start with all features and remove one at a time, if possible.
Floating search (Add $k$, remove I)


## Principal Components Analysis (PCA)

Note: Q means eigenvector matrix of the covariance matrix, in Haykin slides.

Motivation


- How can we project the given data so that the variance in the projected points is maximized?


## Eigenvalues/Eigenvectors

- For a square matrix $\mathbf{A}$, if a vector $\mathbf{x}$ and a scalar value $\lambda$ exists so that

$$
(\mathbf{A}-\lambda \mathbf{I}) \mathbf{x}=0
$$

then $\mathbf{x}$ is called an eigenvector of $\mathbf{A}$ and $\lambda$ an eigenvalue.

- Note, the above is simply

$$
\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}=\lambda \mathbf{x}
$$

- An intuitive meaning is: $\mathbf{x}$ is the direction in which applying the linear transformation $\mathbf{A}$ only changes the magnitude of $\mathbf{x}$ (by $\lambda$ ) but not the angle.
- There can be as many as $n$ eigenvector/eigenvalue for an $n \times n$ matrix.

Eigenvector/Eigenvalue Example


- Red: original data $\mathbf{x}$
- Green: projected data using $A=\left[\begin{array}{ll}3 & 5 \\ 2 & 1\end{array}\right]$
- Blue: Eigenvectors $\mathbf{v}_{1}=(0.91,0.42), \mathbf{v}_{2}=(-0.76,0.65)$, $\lambda_{1}=5.3, \lambda_{2}=-1.3$. Octave/Matlab code: [V,Lamba]=eig (A)
- Magenta: A times eigenvectors.

Eigenvector/Eigenvalue Example 2


- Red: original data x
- Green: projected data using $A=\left[\begin{array}{ll}3 & 4 \\ 4 & 3\end{array}\right]$
- Blue: Eigenvectors; Magenta: A times eigenvectors.
- $A$ is a symmetric matrix, so eigenvectors are orthogonal.
$\square$ Maximize $\operatorname{Var}(z)$ subject to $||w||=1$

$$
\max _{\mathbf{w}_{1}} \mathbf{w}_{1}^{\top} \Sigma \mathbf{w}_{1}-\alpha\left(\mathbf{w}_{1}^{\top} \mathbf{w}_{1}-1\right)
$$

$\sum w_{1}=\alpha w_{1}$ that is, $w_{1}$ is an eigenvector of $\sum$
Choose the one with the largest eigenvalue for $\operatorname{Var}(z)$ to be max
Second principal component: $\operatorname{Max} \operatorname{Var}\left(z_{2}\right)$, s.t., $\left|\left|w_{2}\right|\right|=1$ and orthogonal to $w_{1}$

$$
\max _{\mathbf{w}_{2}} \mathbf{w}_{2}^{T} \Sigma \mathbf{w}_{2}-\alpha\left(\mathbf{w}_{2}^{T} \mathbf{w}_{2}-1\right)-\beta\left(\mathbf{w}_{2}^{T} \mathbf{w}_{1}-0\right)
$$

$\sum w_{2}=\alpha w_{2}$ that is, $w_{2}$ is another eigenvector of $\sum$ and so on.

## Principal Components Analysis

$\square$ Find a low-dimensional space such that when $\mathbf{x}$ is projected there, information loss is minimized.
$\square$ The projection of $\mathbf{x}$ on the direction of $\boldsymbol{w}$ is: $\boldsymbol{z}=\boldsymbol{w}^{\top} \boldsymbol{x}$
$\square$ Find $\boldsymbol{w}$ such that $\operatorname{Var}(z)$ is maximized

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Var}(z) & =\operatorname{Var}\left(\boldsymbol{w}^{\top} \mathbf{x}\right)=\mathrm{E}\left[\left(\boldsymbol{w}^{\top} \mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{w}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\mu}\right)^{2}\right] \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left[\left(\boldsymbol{w}^{T} \mathbf{x}-\mathbf{w}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\mu}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{w}^{T} \mathbf{x}-\mathbf{w}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\mu}\right)\right] \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left[\boldsymbol{w}^{\top}(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu})(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu})^{T} \boldsymbol{w}\right] \\
& =\boldsymbol{w}^{\top} \mathrm{E}\left[(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu})(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu})^{T}\right] \boldsymbol{w}=\boldsymbol{w}^{T} \sum \boldsymbol{w}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\operatorname{Var}(x)=E\left[(x-\mu)(x-\mu)^{T}\right]=\sum$

## What PCA does

$$
z=W^{\top}(x-m)
$$

where the columns of $\mathbf{W}$ are the eigenvectors of $\sum$ and $m$ is sample mean
Centers the data at the origin and rotates the axes



## How to choose k?

$\square$ Proportion of Variance (PoV) explained

$$
\frac{\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}+\cdots+\lambda_{k}}{\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}+\cdots+\lambda_{k}+\cdots+\lambda_{d}}
$$

when $\lambda_{i}$ are sorted in descending orderTypically, stop at PoV>0.9
$\square$ Scree graph plots of PoV vs k, stop at "elbow"

(a) Scree graph for Optdigits

(b) Proportion of variance explained


## PCA: Usage

- Project input $\mathbf{x}$ to the principal directions:

$$
\mathbf{a}=\mathbf{Q}^{T} \mathbf{x}
$$

- We can also recover the input from the projected point a:

$$
\mathbf{x}=\left(\mathbf{Q}^{T}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{a}=\mathbf{Q} \mathbf{a}
$$

- Note that we don't need all $m$ principal directions, depending on how much variance is captured in the first few eigenvalues: We can do dimensionality reduction.


## PCA: Dimensionality Reduction

- Encoding: We can use the first $l$ eigenvectors to encode $\mathbf{x}$.

$$
\left[a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{l}\right]^{T}=\left[\mathbf{q}_{1}, \mathbf{q}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{q}_{l}\right]^{T} \mathbf{x}
$$

- Note that we only need to calculate $l$ projections $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{l}$ where $l \leq m$.
- Decoding: Once $\left[a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{l}\right]^{T}$ is obtained, we want to reconstruct the full $\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{l}, \ldots, x_{m}\right]^{T}$.

$$
\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{Q} \mathbf{a} \approx\left[\mathbf{q}_{1}, \mathbf{q}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{q}_{l}\right]\left[a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{l}\right]^{T}=\hat{\mathbf{x}}
$$

Or, alternatively

$$
\hat{\mathbf{x}}=\mathbf{Q}[a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{l}, \underbrace{0,0, \ldots, 0}_{m-l \text { zeros }}]^{T}
$$
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## PCA: Total Variance

- The total variance of th em components of the data vector is

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{m} \sigma_{j}^{2}=\sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_{j}
$$

- The truncated version with the first $l$ components have variance

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{l} \sigma_{j}^{2}=\sum_{j=1}^{l} \lambda_{j}
$$

- The larger the variance in the truncated version, i.e., the smaller the variance in the remaining components, the more accurate the dimensionality reduction.


## Factor Analysis

$\square$ Find a small number of factors $\mathbf{z}$, which when combined generate $x$ :

$$
x_{i}-\mu_{i}=v_{i 1} z_{1}+v_{i 2} z_{2}+\ldots+v_{i k} z_{k}+\varepsilon_{i}
$$

where $z_{i,} i=1, \ldots, k$ are the latent factors with

$$
E\left[z_{i}\right]=0, \operatorname{Var}\left(z_{i}\right)=1, \operatorname{Cov}\left(z_{i}, z_{i}\right)=0, i \neq i,
$$

$\varepsilon_{i}$ are the noise sources

$$
\mathrm{E}\left[\varepsilon_{i}\right]=\Psi_{i}, \operatorname{Cov}\left(\varepsilon_{i}, \varepsilon_{i}\right)=0, i \neq i, \operatorname{Cov}\left(\varepsilon_{i}, z_{i}\right)=0,
$$ and $v_{i j}$ are the factor loadings

PCA vs FA

## Factor Analysis

| $\square$ PCA | From $\mathbf{x}$ to $\mathbf{z}$ | $\mathbf{z}=\mathbf{W}^{\top}(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ FA | From $\mathbf{z}$ to $\mathbf{x}$ | $\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu}=\mathbf{V} \mathbf{z}+\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ |



Singular Value Decomposition and Matrix Factorization

## $\square$ Singular value decomposition: $\mathbf{X}=\mathbf{V A W}^{\top}$

$\boldsymbol{V}$ is $N \times N$ and contains the eigenvectors of $\boldsymbol{X} \mathbf{X}^{\top}$
$\boldsymbol{W}$ is $d x d$ and contains the eigenvectors of $\boldsymbol{X}^{\top} \boldsymbol{X}$ and $\mathbf{A}$ is $N x d$ and contains singular values on its first $k$ diagonal
$\boldsymbol{X}=\mathbf{u}_{1} \boldsymbol{a}_{1} \boldsymbol{v}_{1}{ }^{\top}+\ldots+\mathbf{u}_{k} \boldsymbol{a}_{k} \boldsymbol{v}_{k}{ }^{\top}$ where $k$ is the rank of $\boldsymbol{X}$
$\square \ln$ FA, factors $z_{i}$ are stretched, rotated and translated to generate $x$



## Multidimensional Scaling

$\square$ Given pairwise distances between $N$ points,

$$
d_{i j}, i, i=1, \ldots, N
$$

place on a low-dim map s.t. distances are preserved (by feature embedding)
$\square \mathbf{z}=\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x} \mid \theta) \quad$ Find $\theta$ that min Sammon stress

$$
\begin{aligned}
E(\theta \mid \mathcal{X}) & =\sum_{r, s} \frac{\left(\left\|\mathbf{z}^{r}-\mathbf{z}^{s}\right\|-\left\|\mathbf{x}^{r}-\mathbf{x}^{s}\right\|\right)^{2}}{\left\|\mathbf{x}^{r}-\mathbf{x}^{s}\right\|^{2}} \\
& =\sum_{r, s} \frac{\left(\left\|\mathbf{g}\left(\mathbf{x}^{r} \mid \theta\right)-\mathbf{g}\left(\mathbf{x}^{s} \mid \theta\right)\right\|-\left\|\mathbf{x}^{r}-\mathbf{x}^{s}\right\|\right)^{2}}{\left\|\mathbf{x}^{r}-\mathbf{x}^{s}\right\|^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Map of Europe by MDS



Map from CIA - The World Factbook: http://www.cia.gov/

## Manifold Learning



- A: 2D manifold embedded in 3D embedding space.
- B: Data points extraced from A.
- C: Recovered 2D structure.
- Task: recover C from B, without knowledge of $A$.

- A topological space that is locally Euclidean (flat, not curved).
- Dimensionality of the manifold = dimensionality of the Euclidean space it resembles, locally.
- Straight line, wiggly curves, etc. are 1D manifolds.
- Flat plane, surface of sphere, etc. are 2D manifolds.
- Detecting curvature of space: sum of internal angles of triangle $=180^{\circ}$ ?


## Isomap

$\square$ Geodesic distance is the distance along the manifold that the data lies in, as opposed to the Euclidean distance in the input space


## Geodesic Distance



Geodesic distance = Shortest path.

- A: Manifold with two points.
- B: Euclidean distance between the two points.
- C: Geodesic distance between the two points.


## Isomap

$\square$ Instances $r$ and $s$ are connected in the graph if $\left|\left|x^{r}-\boldsymbol{x}^{s}\right|\right|<\varepsilon$ or if $\boldsymbol{x}^{s}$ is one of the $k$ neighbors of $\boldsymbol{x}^{r}$ The edge length is $\left|\left|x^{r}-\mathbf{x}^{s}\right|\right|$
$\square$ For two nodes $r$ and $s$ not connected, the distance is equal to the shortest path between them
$\square$ Once the $N x N$ distance matrix is thus formed, use MDS to find a lower-dimensional mapping

## Locally Linear Embedding

1. Given $\boldsymbol{x}^{r}$ find its neighbors $\boldsymbol{x}^{s}{ }_{(r)}$
2. Find $\mathbf{W}_{\text {rs }}$ that minimize

$$
E(\mathbf{W} \mid X)=\sum_{r}\left\|\mathbf{x}^{r}-\sum_{s} \mathbf{W}_{r s} \mathbf{x}_{(r)}^{s}\right\|^{2}
$$

3. Find the new coordinates $z^{r}$ that minimize

$$
E(\mathbf{z} \mid \mathbf{W})=\sum_{r}\left\|z^{r}-\sum_{s} \mathbf{W}_{r s} z_{(r)}^{s}\right\|^{2}
$$

## LLE on Optdigits
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