### 633-600 Machine Learning

Instructor: Yoonsuck Choe

- Contact info: HRBB 322B, 845-5466, choe@tamu.edu

• TA: Wen Li

- Contact info: wen.li@neo.tamu.edu

• Course web page: http://courses.cs.tamu.edu/choe/13spring/633

1

#### **Course Info**

- Grading, academic policy, students with disabilities, lecture notes, computer accounts, programming languages.
- See course web page.

#### **Textbook**

- Ethem Alpaydin (2010) "Introduction to Machine Learning", 2nd edition. MIT Press.
- Book webpage: http://www.cmpe.boun.edu.tr/~ethem/i2ml2e/
- Tom M. Mitchell (1997) "Machine Learning", McGraw-Hill.
- Book webpage: http://www.cs.cmu.edu/~tom/mlbook.html
- Text and figures, etc. will be quoted from the textbook without repeated acknowledgment. Instructor's perspective will be indicated by "YC" where appropriate.

2

### **Relation to Other Courses**

#### Some overlaps:

- Neural Networks: perceptrons, backpropagation, etc.
- Pattern analysis: Bayesian learning, instance-based learning
- Artificial intelligence: decision trees (in some courses)
- Statistics: hypothesis testing
- (Relatively) unique to this course: computational learning theory, genetic algorithms, reinforcement learning, decision trees (in depth treatment)

#### **ML Overview (I)**

- How can machines (computers) learn?
  How can machines improve automatically with experience?
- How can machines learn from data?
- Benefits:
  - Improved performance
  - Automated optimization
  - New uses of computers
  - Reduced programming (YC)
  - Insights into human learning and learning disabilities

5

# **ML Overview (III)**

### Multidisciplinary roots:

- Al
- probability and statistics
- · computational complexity theory
- control theory
- information theory
- philosophy
- psychology
- neurobiology

### **ML Overview (II)**

- Current status: Yet unsolved problem.
  - Theoretical insights emerging.
  - Practical applications.
  - Huge data volume demands ML, and provides opportunity to ML (datamining).
- State of the art:
  - speech recognition
  - medical predictions
  - fraud detection
  - drive autonomous vehicles (highway and desert)
  - board games (backgammon, chess)
  - theoretical bounds on error, number of inputs needed, etc.

6

## **Well-Posed Learning Problem**

A program is said to learn from

- ullet experience E with respect to
- ullet task T and
- performance measure *P*,
- $\bullet$  P in T increase with E.

Examples: Playing checkers, Handwriting recognition, Robot driving, etc.

Goal of ML: "define precisely a class of problems that encompasses interesting forms of learning [but not all: YC], to explore algorithms that solve such problems, and to understand the fundamental structure of learning problems and processes" (Mitchell, 1997)

# **Designing a Learning System (I)**

Training experience:

- direct vs. indirect (problem of credit assignment)
- degree of control over training examples (teacher-dependent or learner-generated)
- ullet closeness of training example distribution to true distribution over which P is measured: in many cases, ML algorithms assume that both distributions are similar, which may not be the case in practice.

9

## **Design: Target Function (I)**

Type of knowledge to be learned: for example, we want to learn **best move** in a board game.

• Can represent as a function (*B*: board states, *M*: moves):

 $ChooseMove: B \rightarrow M$ ,

but it is hard to learn directly.

### **Designing a Learning System (II)**

Remaining design choices:

- Exact type of knowledge to be learned.
- A representation for this target knowledge.
- A learning mechanism.
- functional/operational principle giving rise to the learning mechanism (YC)

10

## **Design: Target Function (II)**

• Another function (B: board states,  $\mathcal{R}$ : real numbers):

$$V: B \to \mathcal{R},$$

which gives the evaluation of each board state.

$$-V(b=win) = 100$$

$$-V(b = lose) = -100$$

$$-V(b = draw) = 0$$

- V(b = otherwise) = V(b'), where b' is the best final board state that can be reached from b.
- However, this is not efficiently computable, i.e., it is a nonoperational definition.
- Goal of ML is to find an **operational** description of V, however, in practice, an **approximation** is all we can get.

# **Design: Representation for Target Function**

Given an ideal target function V, we want to learn an approximate function  $\hat{V}$ :

- Trade-off between rich and parsimonious representation.
- Example:  $\hat{V}$  as a linear combination of number of pieces, number of particular relational situations in the board (e.g., threatened), etc. (represented as  $x_i$ ) in board configuration b:

$$\hat{V}(b) = w_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i x_i,$$

where  $w_i$  are the weight values to be learned.

 Advantage of the above representation: reduction of scope (or dimensionality) from the original problem.

13

# **Design: Adjusting Weights (I)**

Last component in defining a learning algorithm: adjustment of weights.

- Want to learn weights  $w_i$  that **best fit** the set of training samples  $\{\langle b, V_{train}(b) \rangle\}.$
- How to define best fit? Once we have  $\hat{V}$  we can calculate all  $\hat{V}(b)$  for all b in the training set, and calculate the error.

$$E \equiv \sum_{\langle b, V_{train}(b) \rangle \in training \ set} \left( V_{train}(b) - \hat{V}(b) \right)^2$$

• How to reduce E?

### **Design: Function Approximation Algorithm**

Given board state and true V, we want to learn the weights  $w_i$  that specify  $\hat{V}$ .

- Start with a set of a large number of input-target pairs  $< b, V_{train}(b) >$ .
- Problem: cannot come up with a full set of  $< b, V_{train}(b) >$  pairs.
- Solution: If  $V_{train}(b)$  is unknown, set it to the **estimated**  $\hat{V}$  of its successor board state:

$$V_{train}(b) = \hat{V}_{train}(Successor(b)).$$

14

### **Design: Adjusting Weights (II)**

**Least Mean Squares** (LMS) learning rule: For each training example  $< b, V_{train}(b) >$ ,

- Use the current weights to calculate  $\hat{V}(b)$ .
- For each weight  $w_i$ , update it as

$$w_i \leftarrow w_i + \eta(V_{train}(b) - \hat{V}(b))x_i,$$

where  $\eta$  is a small **learning rate** constant.

• The error  $V_{train}(b) - \hat{V}(b)$  and the input  $x_i$  both contribute to the weight update.

## **Final Design**

Putting together the system (checker player):

- Performance system: input = problem, output = solution trace = game history (using what is learned so far)
- Critic: input = solution trace, output = training examples (estimated  $V_{train}(b)$ )
- Generalizer: input = training examples, output = estimated hypothesis  $\hat{V}$  (i.e., learned weights  $w_i$ )
- Experiment generator: input = hypothesis  $\hat{V}$ , output = new problem (new initial condition, to explore particular regions)

17

### **Alternatives (II)**

- Memorize (instance-based learning)
- Spawn a population and make them compete with each other (genetic algorithms)
- Analyze and reason about things

### **Alternatives (I)**

- Training experience: against experts, against self, table of correct moves, ...
- Target function: board  $\rightarrow$  move, board  $\rightarrow$  value, ...
- Representation of target function: polynomial, linear function of small number of features, artificial neural network
- Learning algorithm: gradient descent, linear programming, ...

18

# Perspectives on ML: Hypothesis Space Search

- Useful to think of ML as searching a very large space of possible hypotheses to best fit the data and the learner's prior knowledge.
- $\bullet$  For example, the hypothesis space for  $\hat{V}$  would be all possible  $\hat{V}$  s with different weight assignment.
- Useful concepts regarding hypothesis space search:
  - Size of hypothesis space
  - Number of training examples available/needed.
  - Confidence in generalizing to new unseen data.

#### **Issues in ML**

- What algorithms exist for generalizable learners given specific training set? Requirements for convergence? Which algorithms are best for a particular domain?
- How much training data needed? Bounds on confidence, based on data size? How long to train?
- Use of prior knowledge?
- How to choose best training experience? Impact of the choice?
- How to reduce ML problem to function approximation?
- How can learner alter the representation itself?

21

# **Broader questions (YC)**

- Can machines themselves formulate their own learning tasks?
  - Can they come up with their own representations?
  - Can they come up with their own learning strategy?
  - Can they come up with their own motivation?
  - Can they come up with their own questions/problems?
- What if the machines are faced with multiple, possibly conflicting tasks? Can there be a meta learning algorithm?
- What if performance is hard to measure (i.e., hard to quantify, or even worse, subjective)?
- Lesson: think outside the box; question the questions themselves.

# Classification of learning algorithms (YC)

What to do with given data? What kinds of data are given?

- Supervised learning: input-target pairs given.
- Unsupervised learning: only input distribution is given.
- Reinforcement learning: sparse reward signal is given for action based on sensory input; environment-altering actions.

22