633-600 Machine Learning

Instructor: Yoonsuck Choe

- Contact info: HRBB 322B, 845-5466, choe@tamu.edu

• Course web page: http://courses.cs.tamu.edu/choe/09spring/633

1

Course Info

- Grading: 3 assignments (including written and programming components), 18% each = 54%; 2 exams (in class), 15% each = 30%; Mini project 16%; No curving: ≥ 90 = A, ≥ 80 = B, etc.
- Academic integrity: individual work, unless otherwise indicated; proper references should be given in case online/offline resources are used.
- Students with disabilities: see syllabus.
- Lecture notes: check course web page for updates. Download, print, and bring to the class.
- Computer accounts: talk to CS helpdesk (HRBB 210).
- Programming: Matlab, or better yet, Octave
 (http://www.octave.org). C/C++, Java, etc. (they should run on CS Unix or windows)

Textbook

- Tom M. Mitchell (1997) "Machine Learning", McGraw-Hill.
- Book webpage: http://www.cs.cmu.edu/~tom/mlbook.html
- Text and figures, etc. will be quoted from the textbook without repeated acknowledgment. Instructor's perspective will be indicated by "YC" where appropriate.

2

Relation to Other Courses

Some overlaps:

- Neural Networks: perceptrons, backpropagation, etc.
- Pattern analysis: Bayesian learning, instance-based learning
- Artificial intelligence: decision trees (in some courses)
- Statistics: hypothesis testing
- (Relatively) unique to this course: concept learning, computational learning theory, genetic algorithms, reinforcement learning, decision trees (in depth treatment)

ML Overview (I)

- How can machines (computers) learn?
 How can machines improve automatically with experience?
- Benefits:
 - Improved performance
 - Automated optimization
 - New uses of computers
 - Reduced programming (YC)
 - Insights into human learning and learning disabilities

5

ML Overview (III)

Multidisciplinary roots:

- Al
- probability and statistics
- · computational complexity theory
- control theory
- information theory
- philosophy
- psychology
- neurobiology

ML Overview (II)

- Current status: Yet unsolved problem.
 - Theoretical insights emerging.
 - Practical applications.
 - Huge data volume demands ML, and provides opportunity to ML (datamining).
- State of the art:
 - speech recognition
 - medical predictions
 - fraud detection
 - drive autonomous vehicles (highway and desert)
 - board games (backgammon, chess)
 - theoretical bounds on error, number of inputs needed, etc.

6

Well-Posed Learning Problem

A program is said to learn from

- ullet experience E with respect to
- ullet task T and
- performance measure *P*,
- ullet P in T increase with E.

Examples: Playing checkers, Handwriting recognition, Robot driving, etc.

Goal of ML: "define precisely a class of problems that encompasses interesting forms of learning [but not all: YC], to explore algorithms that solve such problems, and to understand the fundamental structure of learning problems and processes" (Mitchell, 1997)

Designing a Learning System (I)

Training experience:

- direct vs. indirect (problem of credit assignment)
- degree of control over training examples (teacher-dependent or learner-generated)
- ullet closeness of training example distribution to true distribution over which P is measured: in many cases, ML algorithms assume that both distributions are similar, which may not be the case in practice.

9

Design: Target Function (I)

Type of knowledge to be learned: for example, we want to learn **best move** in a board game.

• Can represent as a function (*B*: board states, *M*: moves):

 $ChooseMove: B \rightarrow M$,

but it is hard to learn directly.

Designing a Learning System (II)

Remaining design choices:

- Exact type of knowledge to be learned.
- A representation for this target knowledge.
- A learning mechanism.
- functional/operational principle giving rise to the learning mechanism (YC)

10

Design: Target Function (II)

• Another function (B: board states, \mathcal{R} : real numbers):

$$V: B \to \mathcal{R},$$

which gives the evaluation of each board state.

$$-V(b=win) = 100$$

$$-V(b = lose) = -100$$

-
$$V(b = draw) = 0$$

- V(b = otherwise) = V(b'), where b' is the best final board state that can be reached from b.
- However, this is not efficiently computable, i.e., it is a nonoperational definition.
- Goal of ML is to find an **operational** description of V, however, in practice, an **approximation** is all we can get.

Design: Representation for Target Function

Given an ideal target function V, we want to learn an approximate function \hat{V} :

- Trade-off between rich and parsimonious representation.
- Example: \hat{V} as a linear combination of number of pieces, number of particular relational situations in the board (e.g., threatened), etc. (represented as x_i) in board configuration b:

$$\hat{V}(b) = w_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i x_i,$$

where w_i are the weight values to be learned.

 Advantage of the above representation: reduction of scope (or dimensionality) from the original problem.

13

Design: Adjusting Weights (I)

Last component in defining a learning algorithm: adjustment of weights.

- Want to learn weights w_i that **best fit** the set of training samples $\{\langle b, V_{train}(b) \rangle\}.$
- How to define best fit? Once we have \hat{V} we can calculate all $\hat{V}(b)$ for all b in the training set, and calculate the error.

$$E \equiv \sum_{\langle b, V_{train}(b) \rangle \in training \ set} \left(V_{train}(b) - \hat{V}(b) \right)^2$$

• How to reduce *E*?

Design: Function Approximation Algorithm

Given board state and true V, we want to learn the weights w_i that specify \hat{V} .

- Start with a set of a large number of input-target pairs $< b, V_{train}(b) >$.
- Problem: cannot come up with a full set of $< b, V_{train}(b) >$ pairs.
- Solution: If $V_{train}(b)$ is unknown, set it to the **estimated** \hat{V} of its successor board state:

$$V_{train}(b) = \hat{V}_{train}(Successor(b)).$$

14

Design: Adjusting Weights (II)

Least Mean Squares (LMS) learning rule: For each training example $< b, V_{train}(b) >$,

- Use the current weights to calculate $\hat{V}(b)$.
- ullet For each weight w_i , update it as

$$w_i \leftarrow w_i + \eta(V_{train}(b) - \hat{V}(b))x_i,$$

where η is a small **learning rate** constant.

• The error $V_{train}(b) - \hat{V}(b)$ and the input x_i both contribute to the weight update.

Final Design

Putting together the system (checker player):

- Performance system: input = problem, output = solution trace = game history (using what is learned so far)
- Critic: input = solution trace, output = training examples (estimated $V_{train}(b)$)
- Generalizer: input = training examples, output = estimated hypothesis \hat{V} (i.e., learned weights w_i)
- Experiment generator: input = hypothesis \hat{V} , output = new problem (new initial condition, to explore particular regions)

17

Alternatives (II)

- Memorize (instance-based learning)
- Spawn a population and make them compete with each other (genetic algorithms)
- Analyze and reason about things

Alternatives (I)

- Training experience: against experts, against self, table of correct moves, ...
- ullet Target function: board \to move, board \to value, ...
- Representation of target function: polynomial, linear function of small number of features, artificial neural network
- Learning algorithm: gradient descent, linear programming, ...

18

Perspectives on ML: Hypothesis Space Search

- Useful to think of ML as searching a very large space of possible hypotheses to best fit the data and the learner's prior knowledge.
- \bullet For example, the hypothesis space for \hat{V} would be all possible \hat{V} s with different weight assignment.
- Useful concepts regarding hypothesis space search:
 - Size of hypothesis space
 - Number of training examples available/needed.
 - Confidence in generalizing to new unseen data.

Issues in ML

- What algorithms exist for generalizable learners given specific training set? Requirements for convergence? Which algorithms are best for a particular domain?
- How much training data needed? Bounds on confidence, based on data size? How long to train?
- Use of prior knowledge?
- How to choose best training experience? Impact of the choice?
- How to reduce ML problem to function approximation?
- How can learner alter the representation itself?

21

Broader questions (YC)

- Can machines themselves formulate their own learning tasks?
 - Can they come up with their own representations?
 - Can they come up with their own learning strategy?
 - Can they come up with their own motivation?
 - Can they come up with their own questions/problems?
- What if the machines are faced with multiple, possibly conflicting tasks? Can there be a meta learning algorithm?
- What if performance is hard to measure (i.e., hard to quantify, or even worse, subjective)?
- Lesson: think outside the box; question the questions themselves.

Classification of learning algorithms (YC)

- Supervised learning: input-target pairs given.
- Unsupervised learning: only input distribution is given.
- Reinforcement learning: sparse reward signal is given for action based on sensory input; environment-altering actions.

22