Andreas Klappenecker

Texas A&M University

© 2018–2019 by Andreas Klappenecker. All rights reserved.

We are going to define the conditional expectation of a random variable given

- an event,
- another random variable,
- $\bullet$  a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

Conditional expectations can be convenient in some computations.

# Conditional Expectation given an Event

#### Definition

The **conditional expectation** of a discrete random variable X given an event A is denoted as  $E[X \mid A]$  and is defined by

$$\mathsf{E}[X\mid A] = \sum_{x} x \, \mathsf{Pr}[X = x\mid A].$$

It follows that

$$E[X \mid A] = \sum_{x} x \Pr[X = x \mid A] = \sum_{x} x \frac{\Pr[X = x \text{ and } A]}{\Pr[A]}.$$

#### Problem

$$Pr[X = 1, Y = 1] = \frac{1}{2}, \quad Pr[X = 1, Y = 2] = \frac{1}{10},$$
  
 $Pr[X = 2, Y = 1] = \frac{1}{10}, \quad Pr[X = 2, Y = 2] = \frac{3}{10}.$ 

Calculate 
$$E[X \mid Y = 1]$$
.

#### Problem

Suppose that X and Y are discrete random variables with values in  $\{1,2\}$  s.t.

$$Pr[X = 1, Y = 1] = \frac{1}{2}, \quad Pr[X = 1, Y = 2] = \frac{1}{10},$$
  
 $Pr[X = 2, Y = 1] = \frac{1}{10}, \quad Pr[X = 2, Y = 2] = \frac{3}{10}.$ 

Calculate  $E[X \mid Y = 1]$ .

#### By definition

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{E}[X \mid Y = 1] &= 1 \, \mathsf{Pr}[X = 1 \mid Y = 1] + 2 \, \mathsf{Pr}[X = 2 \mid Y = 1]. \\ &= 1 \frac{\mathsf{Pr}[X = 1, Y = 1]}{\mathsf{Pr}[Y = 1]} + 2 \frac{\mathsf{Pr}[X = 2, Y = 1]}{\mathsf{Pr}[Y = 1]}. \end{split}$$

#### Problem

$$Pr[X = 1, Y = 1] = \frac{1}{2}, \quad Pr[X = 1, Y = 2] = \frac{1}{10},$$
  
 $Pr[X = 2, Y = 1] = \frac{1}{10}, \quad Pr[X = 2, Y = 2] = \frac{3}{10}.$ 

#### Problem

$$Pr[X = 1, Y = 1] = \frac{1}{2}, \quad Pr[X = 1, Y = 2] = \frac{1}{10},$$
  
 $Pr[X = 2, Y = 1] = \frac{1}{10}, \quad Pr[X = 2, Y = 2] = \frac{3}{10}.$ 

We have 
$$Pr[Y = 1] = Pr[X = 1, Y = 1] + Pr[X = 2, Y = 1] = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{10} = \frac{3}{5}$$
.

#### Problem

$$Pr[X = 1, Y = 1] = \frac{1}{2}, \quad Pr[X = 1, Y = 2] = \frac{1}{10},$$
  
 $Pr[X = 2, Y = 1] = \frac{1}{10}, \quad Pr[X = 2, Y = 2] = \frac{3}{10}.$ 

We have 
$$\Pr[Y=1] = \Pr[X=1, Y=1] + \Pr[X=2, Y=1] = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{10} = \frac{3}{5}$$
.

$$E[X \mid Y = 1] = 1 \frac{\Pr[X = 1, Y = 1]}{\Pr[Y = 1]} + 2 \frac{\Pr[X = 2, Y = 1]}{\Pr[Y = 1]}$$
$$= 1 \frac{1/2}{3/5} + 2 \frac{1/10}{3/5} = \frac{5}{6} + 2 \frac{1}{6} = \frac{7}{6}$$

# Interpretation

Let  $\mathcal{F}=2^{\Omega}$  with  $\Omega$  finite. For a random variable X and an event A, we can interpret  $\mathsf{E}[X\mid A]$  as the average of  $X(\omega)$  over all  $\omega\in A$ .

Indeed, we have

$$E[X|A] = \sum_{x} x \Pr[X = x \mid A] = \sum_{x} x \frac{\Pr[X = x \text{ and } A]}{\Pr[A]}$$
$$= \sum_{\omega \in A} X(\omega) \frac{\Pr[\omega]}{\Pr[A]}.$$

# Interpretation

Let  $\mathcal{F}=2^{\Omega}$  with  $\Omega$  finite. For a random variable X and an event A, we can interpret  $\mathsf{E}[X\mid A]$  as the average of  $X(\omega)$  over all  $\omega\in A$ .

Indeed, we have

$$E[X|A] = \sum_{x} x \Pr[X = x \mid A] = \sum_{x} x \frac{\Pr[X = x \text{ and } A]}{\Pr[A]}$$
$$= \sum_{\omega \in A} X(\omega) \frac{\Pr[\omega]}{\Pr[A]}.$$

#### Caveat

This interpretation does not work for all random variables, but it gives a better understanding of the meaning of  $E[X \mid A]$ .

# Proposition

We have

$$\mathsf{E}[X\mid A] = \frac{\mathsf{E}[X\mid I_A]}{\mathsf{Pr}[A]}.$$

#### Proof.

As we have seen,

$$\mathsf{E}[X|A] = \sum_{x} x \frac{\mathsf{Pr}[X = x \text{ and } A]}{\mathsf{Pr}[A]} = \frac{1}{\mathsf{Pr}[A]} \sum_{x} x \mathsf{Pr}[X = x \text{ and } A].$$

We can rewrite the latter expression in the form

$$\mathsf{E}[X|A] = \frac{\mathsf{E}[X\,I_A]}{\mathsf{Pr}[A]}.\quad \Box$$

#### Definition for General Random Variables

#### **Definition**

The **conditional expectation**  $E[X \mid A]$  of an arbitrary random variable X given an event A is defined by

$$E[X|A] = \begin{cases} \frac{E[X I_A]}{Pr[A]} & \text{if } Pr[A] > 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

# Properties

# Linearity

# Proposition

If a and b are real numbers and X and Y are random variables, then

$$\mathsf{E}[aX + bY \mid A] = a\mathsf{E}[X \mid A] + b\mathsf{E}[Y \mid A].$$

#### Proof.

$$E[aX + bY \mid A] = \frac{E[(aX + bY) I_A]}{Pr[A]}$$

$$= a\frac{E[X I_A]}{Pr[A]} + b\frac{E[Y I_A]}{Pr[A]}$$

$$= aE[X \mid A] + bE[Y \mid A].$$

# Independence

#### Proposition

If X and Y are independent discrete random variables, then

$$\mathsf{E}[Y \mid X = x] = \mathsf{E}[Y].$$

#### Proof.

By definition,

$$E[Y \mid X = x] = \sum_{y} y \Pr[Y = y \mid X = x]$$
  
=  $\sum_{y} y \Pr[Y = y] = E[Y].$ 

# Important Application

# Computing Expectations

We can compute the expected value of X as a sum of conditional expectations. This is similar to the law of total probability.

#### Proposition

If X and Y are discrete random variables, then

$$\mathsf{E}[X] = \sum_{y} \mathsf{E}[X \mid Y = y] \, \mathsf{Pr}[Y = y].$$

#### Proposition

If X and Y are discrete random variables, then

$$\mathsf{E}[X] = \sum_{y} \mathsf{E}[X \mid Y = y] \, \mathsf{Pr}[Y = y].$$

#### Proof.

$$\sum_{y} E[X \mid Y = y] \Pr[Y = y] = \sum_{y} \left( \sum_{x} x \Pr[X = x | Y = y] \right) \Pr[Y = y]$$

$$= \sum_{x} \sum_{y} x \Pr[X = x | Y = y] \Pr[Y = y]$$

$$= \sum_{x} \sum_{y} x \Pr[X = x, Y = y]$$

$$= \sum_{x} x \Pr[X = x] = E[X]$$

# Why We Need More than One Type of Conditional Expectation

We can also define conditional expectations for continuous random variables.

#### **Definition**

The conditional expectation of a discrete random variable Y given that X=x is defined as

$$E[Y \mid X = x] = \sum_{y} y Pr[Y = y \mid X = x].$$

The conditional expectation of a continuous random variable Y given that X=x is defined as

$$\mathsf{E}[Y\mid X=x] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y \, f_{Y\mid X=x}(y) \, dy,$$

We assume absolute convergence in each case.

#### **Problem**

A stick of length one is broken at a random point, uniformly distributed over the stick. The remaining piece is broken once more.

Find the expected value of the piece that now remains.

Let X denote the random variable giving the length of the first remaining piece. Then X is uniformly distributed over the unit interval (0,1).

Let Y denote the random variable giving the length of the second remaining piece. Then Y is uniformly distributed over the shorter interval (0, X).

# Motivating Example: Interpretation

Given that X = x, the random variable Y is uniformly distributed over the interval (0, x). In other words,

$$Y \mid X = x$$

has the density function

$$f_{Y|X=x}(y)=\frac{1}{x}$$

for all y in (0, x).

# Motivating Example: Expectation

For a random variable Z that is uniformly distributed on the interval (a, b), we have

$$E[Z] = \int_{a}^{b} x \frac{1}{b-a} dx = \frac{1}{b-a} \frac{1}{2} x^{2} \Big|_{a}^{b}$$
$$= \frac{b^{2} - a^{2}}{2(b-a)} = \frac{b+a}{2}.$$

# Motivating Example: Expectation

For a random variable Z that is uniformly distributed on the interval (a, b), we have

$$E[Z] = \int_{a}^{b} x \frac{1}{b-a} dx = \frac{1}{b-a} \frac{1}{2} x^{2} \Big|_{a}^{b}$$
$$= \frac{b^{2} - a^{2}}{2(b-a)} = \frac{b+a}{2}.$$

#### Example

Since the random variable X is uniformly distributed over the interval (0,1), we have

$$\mathsf{E}[X] = \frac{1+0}{2} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

#### Example

Since Y|X = x is uniformly distributed over (0, x), we get

$$E[Y \mid X = x] = \int_0^x y \frac{1}{x} dy = \frac{x+0}{2} = \frac{x}{2}.$$

# Example

Since Y|X = x is uniformly distributed over (0, x), we get

$$E[Y \mid X = x] = \int_0^x y \frac{1}{x} dy = \frac{x+0}{2} = \frac{x}{2}.$$

# Does this solve the problem?

Now we know the expected length of the second remaining piece, **given** that we know the length *x* of the first remaining piece of the stick.

We can also define a random variable  $E[Y \mid X]$  that satisfies

$$\mathsf{E}[Y \mid X](\omega) = \mathsf{E}[Y \mid X = X(\omega)].$$

We expect that

$$E[E[Y \mid X]] = E[X/2] = \frac{1}{4}.$$

Now this solves the problem. The expected length of the remaining piece is 1/4 of the length of the stick.

# Conditional Expectation given a Random Variable

#### Motivation

#### Question

How should we think about  $E[X \mid Y]$ ?

#### Answer

Suppose that Y is a discrete random variable. If we **observe** one of the values y of Y, then the conditional expectation should be given by

$$\mathsf{E}[X\mid Y=y].$$

If we **do not know** the value y of Y, then we need to contend ourselves with the possible expectations

$$E[X \mid Y = y_1], E[X \mid Y = y_2], E[X \mid Y = y_2], \dots$$

So  $E[X \mid Y]$  should be a  $\sigma(Y)$ -measurable random variable itself.

#### **Definition**

#### Definition

Let X and Y be two discrete random variables.

The **conditional expectation**  $E[X \mid Y]$  of X given Y is the random variable defined by

$$E[X \mid Y](\omega) = E[X \mid Y = Y(\omega)].$$

#### **Definition**

#### Definition

Let X and Y be two discrete random variables.

The **conditional expectation**  $E[X \mid Y]$  of X given Y is the random variable defined by

$$E[X \mid Y](\omega) = E[X \mid Y = Y(\omega)].$$

#### Caveat

Sometimes  $E[X \mid Y]$  is defined differently as a  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{R})$ -measurable function  $y \mapsto E[X \mid Y = y]$ . We prefer to think about  $E[X \mid Y]$  as a function  $\Omega \to \mathbf{R}$ . The two definitions are obviously not equivalent. Our choice generalizes nicely.

# Example

Suppose that X and Y are random variables describing independent fair coin flips with values 0 and 1. Then the sample space of (X,Y) is given by

$$\Omega = \{(0,0), (0,1), (1,0), (1,1)\}.$$

Let Z denote the random variable Z = X + Y. Then we have

$$Z(0,0) = 0$$
,  $Z(0,1) = 1$ ,  $Z(1,0) = 1$ ,  $Z(1,1) = 2$ .

# Example (Continued.)

Suppose that we want to know  $E[Z \mid X]$ . We calculate

$$E[Z \mid X = 0] = 0 \cdot \frac{1}{2} + 1 \cdot \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2},$$
  
$$E[Z \mid X = 1] = 1 \cdot \frac{1}{2} + 2 \cdot \frac{1}{2} = \frac{3}{2}.$$

Then

$$E[Z \mid X](0,0) = \frac{1}{2}, \quad E[Z \mid X](0,1) = \frac{1}{2},$$
  
 $E[Z \mid X](1,0) = \frac{3}{2}, \quad E[Z \mid X](1,1) = \frac{3}{2}.$ 

# Example (Continued.)

Suppose that we now want to know  $E[Z \mid Y]$ . We calculate

$$E[Z \mid Y = 0] = 0 \cdot \frac{1}{2} + 1 \cdot \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2},$$
  
$$E[Z \mid Y = 1] = 1 \cdot \frac{1}{2} + 2 \cdot \frac{1}{2} = \frac{3}{2}.$$

Then

$$\begin{split} &\mathsf{E}[Z\mid Y](0,0) = \tfrac{1}{2}, \quad \mathsf{E}[Z\mid Y](0,1) = \tfrac{3}{2}, \\ &\mathsf{E}[Z\mid Y](1,0) = \tfrac{1}{2}, \quad \mathsf{E}[Z\mid Y](1,1) = \tfrac{3}{2}. \end{split}$$

# Example (Continued.)

Suppose that we now want to know  $E[X \mid Z]$ . We calculate

$$E[X \mid Z = 0] = 0$$
  
 $E[X \mid Z = 1] = 0 \cdot \frac{1}{2} + 1 \cdot \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2},$   
 $E[X \mid Z = 2] = 1$ 

Then

$$E[X \mid Z](0,0) = 0, \quad E[X \mid Z](0,1) = \frac{1}{2},$$
  
 $E[X \mid Z](1,0) = \frac{1}{2}, \quad E[X \mid Z](1,1) = 1.$ 

# Properties of the Conditional Expectation

#### **Functions**

## Proposition

If X is a function of Y, then  $E[X \mid Y] = X$ .

#### Proof.

Suppose that X = f(Y). Then

$$E[X \mid Y](\omega) = E[X \mid Y = Y(\omega)]$$

$$= E[f(Y(\omega)) \mid Y = Y(\omega)]$$

$$= f(Y(\omega)) = X(\omega).$$

# Independence

## Proposition

If X and Y are independent, then  $E[X \mid Y] = E[X]$ .

#### Proof.

For all  $\omega$  in  $\Omega$ , we have

$$\mathsf{E}[X\mid Y](\omega) = \mathsf{E}[X\mid Y = Y(\omega)] = \mathsf{E}[X].$$

# Linearity

# Proposition

If a and b are real numbers and X, Y, and Z discrete random variables, then

$$E[aX + bY \mid Z] = aE[X \mid Z] + bE[Y \mid Z].$$

# A Pair of Fair Coin Flips

## Example

Suppose that X and Y are independent random variables describing fair coin flips with values 0 and 1. Let Z = X + Y. We determined E[Z|X], but it was a bit cumbersome. Here is an easier way:

$$E[Z \mid X] = E[X + Y \mid X]$$
 by definition  
=  $E[X \mid X] + E[Y \mid X]$  by linearity  
=  $X + E[Y]$  by function and by independence  
=  $X + \frac{1}{2}$ .

# Law of the Iterated Expectation

# Proposition

$$\mathsf{E}[\mathsf{E}[X\mid Y]] = \mathsf{E}[X].$$

#### Proof.

$$E[E[X \mid Y]] = \sum_{y} E[E[X \mid Y] | Y = y] Pr[Y = y]$$
$$= \sum_{y} E[X \mid Y = y] Pr[Y = y]$$
$$= E[X]$$

# **Applications**

#### Wald's Theorem

#### **Theorem**

Suppose that  $X_1, X_2, ...$  are independent random variables, all with the same mean. Suppose that N is a nonnegative, integer-valued random variable that is independent of the  $X_i$ 's. If  $E[X_1] < \infty$  and  $E[N] < \infty$ , then

$$\mathsf{E}\left[\sum_{k=1}^N X_i\right] = \mathsf{E}[N]\mathsf{E}[X_1].$$

#### Proof.

By double expectation, we have

$$E\left[\sum_{k=1}^{N} X_{i}\right] = E\left[E\left[\sum_{k=1}^{N} X_{i} \middle| N\right]\right]$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E\left[\sum_{k=1}^{N} X_{i} \middle| N = n\right] \Pr[N = n]$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E\left[\sum_{k=1}^{n} X_{i} \middle| N = n\right] \Pr[N = n]$$

# Proof. (Continued)

$$E\left[\sum_{k=1}^{N} X_{i}\right] = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E\left[\sum_{k=1}^{n} X_{i} \middle| N = n\right] \Pr[N = n]$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E\left[\sum_{k=1}^{n} X_{i}\right] \Pr[N = n]$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n E\left[X_{1}\right] \Pr[N = n]$$

$$= E[X_{1}] \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} n \Pr[N = n] = E[X_{1}] E[N]. \square$$

#### Dice

## Example

Suppose that we roll a navy die. The face value N of the die ranges from 1 to 6. Depending on the face value of the navy die, we roll N ivory dice and sum their values.

On average, what is the resulting value of the sum face values of the N ivory dice?

Dice: Solution

#### Solution

Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_6$  denote the random variables describing the face values of the ivory dice. By Wald's theorem, we have

$$E\left[\sum_{k=1}^{N} X_{i}\right] = E[N]E[X_{1}]$$

$$= \left(\frac{1+2+3+4+5+6}{6}\right) \left(\frac{1+2+3+4+5+6}{6}\right)$$

$$= \left(\frac{7}{2}\right)^{2} = \frac{49}{4} = 12.25$$

# Conditional Expectation Given a $\sigma$ -Algebra

#### Motivation

Suppose that a sample space  $\Omega$  is partitioned into measurable sets

$$B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_n$$
.

We know know the expectation of a random variable X given that one of the events  $B_k$  will happen, but we do not know which one.

We want to form a conditional expectation  $E[X \mid G]$  with  $G = \sigma(B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n)$  such that

$$E[X \mid \mathcal{G}](\omega) = E[X \mid B_k] = \frac{E[X \mid I_{B_k}]}{Pr[B_k]}$$

for  $\omega \in B_k$ . Then  $E[E[X \mid \mathcal{G}]] = E[X]$ .

## Conditional Expectation

#### Definition

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a  $\sigma$ -algebra with sub- $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{G}$ . A random variable Y is called a **conditional expectation** of X given  $\mathcal{G}$ , written

- $Y = E[X \mid \mathcal{G}]$  if and only if
  - Y is  $\mathcal{G}$ -measurable
  - $\bullet \ \mathsf{E}[Y \, I_G] = \mathsf{E}[X \, I_G] \text{ for all } G \in \mathcal{G}.$

## Single Event

## Example

Let A and B be events with  $0 < \Pr[A] < 1$ . If we define  $\mathcal{G} = \sigma(B)$ , then  $\mathcal{G} = \{\emptyset, B, B^c, \Omega\}$ . Then

$$\mathsf{E}[X \mid \mathcal{G}] = \frac{\mathsf{E}[X I_B]}{\mathsf{Pr}[B]} I_B + \frac{\mathsf{E}[X I_{B^c}]}{\mathsf{Pr}[B^c]} I_{B^c}.$$

Indeed, the right-hand side is clearly  $\mathcal{G}$ -measurable. We have

$$\mathsf{E}[\,\mathsf{E}[X\mid\mathcal{G}]I_B\,]=\mathsf{E}[X\,I_B]$$

and

$$\mathsf{E}[\,\mathsf{E}[X\mid\mathcal{G}]I_{B^c}\,]=\mathsf{E}[X\,I_{B^c}].$$

## Interpretation

## Interpretation

We would like to think of  $E[X \mid \mathcal{G}]$  as the average of  $X(\omega)$  over all  $\omega$  which is consistent with the information encoded in  $\mathcal{G}$ .

# $\sigma$ -Algebra Generated by a Random Variable

## Example

Suppose that  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \Pr)$  is a probability space with  $\Omega=\{a,b,c,d,e,f\}$ ,  $\mathcal{F}=2^{\Omega}$ , and  $\Pr$  uniform. Define a random variable X by

$$X(a) = 1$$
,  $X(b) = 3$ ,  $X(c) = 3$ ,  $X(d) = 5$ ,  $X(e) = 5$ ,  $X(f) = 7$ .

Suppose that another random variable Z is given by

$$Z(a) = 3$$
,  $Z(b) = 3$ ,  $Z(c) = 3$ ,  $Z(d) = 3$ ,  $Z(e) = 2$ ,  $Z(f) = 2$ .

We want to determine  $E[X \mid G]$  with  $G = \sigma(Z)$ .

# $\sigma$ -Algebra Generated by a Random Variable

## Example

Since

$$Z(a) = 3$$
,  $Z(b) = 3$ ,  $Z(c) = 3$ ,  $Z(d) = 3$ ,  $Z(e) = 2$ ,  $Z(f) = 2$ ,

the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\sigma(Z)$  is generated by the event  $Z^{-1}(3)$  and its complement

$$Z^{-1}(3) = \{a, b, c, d\}$$
 and  $Z^{-1}(2) = \{e, f\}.$ 

## $\sigma$ -Algebra Generated by a Random Variable

### Example

Now consider X on  $Z^{-1}(3) = \{a, b, c, d\}$  and its complement

$$X(a) = 1$$
,  $X(b) = 3$ ,  $X(c) = 3$ ,  $X(d) = 5$ ,  $X(e) = 5$ ,  $X(f) = 7$ .

Since the distribution is uniform, we have

$$\mathsf{E}[X \mid \sigma(Z)](\omega) = \begin{cases} 3 & \text{if } \omega \in \{a, b, c, d\}, \\ 6 & \text{if } \omega \in \{e, f\} \end{cases}$$

#### Finite Number of Events

### Example

Suppose that  $\mathcal G$  is generated by a finite partition

$$B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_n$$

of the sample space  $\Omega$ . Then

$$\mathsf{E}[X \mid \mathcal{G}](\omega) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathsf{a}_{k} \mathsf{I}_{\mathsf{B}_{k}},$$

where

$$a_k = \frac{\mathsf{E}[X \, I_{B_k}]}{\mathsf{Pr}[B_k]} = \mathsf{E}[X \mid B_k].$$

#### Finite Number of Events

# Example (Continued.)

lf

$$\mathsf{E}[X \mid \mathcal{G}] = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\mathsf{E}[X I_{B_k}]}{\mathsf{Pr}[B_k]} I_{B_k},$$

then it is certainly  $\mathcal{G}$ -measurable and

$$\mathsf{E}[\,\mathsf{E}[X\mid\mathcal{G}]\,]I_{B_k}\,]=\mathsf{E}[X\,I_{B_k}].$$

Therefore,

$$\mathsf{E}[\mathsf{E}[X \mid \mathcal{G}]] = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathsf{E}[X I_{\mathcal{B}_k}] = \mathsf{E}[X I_{\Omega}] = \mathsf{E}[X].$$

# Conditional Expectation: Main Questions

#### **Definition**

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a  $\sigma$ -algebra with sub- $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{G}$ . A random variable Y is called a **conditional expectation** of X given  $\mathcal{G}$ , written

$$Y = E[X \mid G]$$
 if and only if

- Y is  $\mathcal{G}$ -measurable
- $\bullet \ \mathsf{E}[Y \, I_G] = \mathsf{E}[X \, I_G] \text{ for all } G \in \mathcal{G}.$

## Questions

- Is the conditional expectation unique?
- Does conditional expectation always exist?

## Uniqueness

Suppose that Y and Y' are  $\mathcal{G}$ -measurable random variables such that

$$\mathsf{E}[Y I_G] = \mathsf{E}[X I_G] = \mathsf{E}[Y' I_G]$$

holds for all  $G \in \mathcal{G}$ . Then  $G = \{Y > Y'\}$  is an event in  $\mathcal{G}$ . We have

$$0 = E[Y I_A] - E[Y' I_A] = E[(Y - Y')I_A].$$

Since  $(Y - Y')I_A \ge 0$ , we have Pr[A] = 0.

We can conclude that  $Y \leqslant Y'$  almost surely (meaning with probability 1). Similarly,  $Y' \leqslant Y$  almost surely.

So Y' = Y almost surely.

Existence (Sketch for those who know integration on measures)

Let  $X^+=\max\{X,0\}$  and  $X^-=X^+-X$ . We can define two finite measures on  $(\Omega,\mathcal{F})$  by

$$Q^{\pm}(A) := \mathsf{E}[X^{\pm} I_A]$$

for all  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ .

If A satisfies Pr[A] = 0, then  $Q^{\pm}(A) = 0$ .

Therefore, it follows from the Radon-Nikodym theorem that there exist densities  $Y^{\pm}$  such that

$$Q^{\pm}(A) = \int_A Y^{\pm} d \operatorname{Pr} = \operatorname{E}[Y^{\pm} I_A].$$

Now define the conditional expectation by  $Y = Y^+ - Y^-$ .

# Linearity

## Proposition

$$E[aX + bY \mid \mathcal{G}] = aE[X \mid \mathcal{G}] + bE[Y \mid \mathcal{G}].$$

#### Proof.

The right-hand side is  $\mathcal{G}$ -measurable by definition, hence, for  $G \in \mathcal{G}$ 

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{E}[I_G(a\mathsf{E}[X\mid\mathcal{G}]+b\mathsf{E}[Y\mid\mathcal{G}])] &= a\mathsf{E}[I_G\mathsf{E}[X\mid\mathcal{G}]] + b\mathsf{E}[I_G\mathsf{E}[Y\mid\mathcal{G}]] \\ &= a\mathsf{E}[I_GX] + b\mathsf{E}[I_GY] \\ &= \mathsf{E}[I_G(aX+bY)]. \end{split}$$

# Monotonicity

# Proposition

If  $X \geqslant Y$  almost surely, then

$$\mathsf{E}[X \mid \mathcal{G}] \geqslant \mathsf{E}[Y \mid \mathcal{G}].$$

#### Proof.

Let A denote the event  $\{E[X \mid G] < E[Y \mid G]\} \in G$ .

Since we have  $X \ge Y$ , we get

$$\mathsf{E}[I_A(X-Y)]\geqslant 0.$$

Therefore, Pr[A] = 0.

For this proof, make sure that you understand what the event A encodes.

#### **Products**

## **Proposition**

If  $E[|XY|] < \infty$  and Y is G-measurable, then

$$E[XY \mid \mathcal{G}] = YE[X \mid \mathcal{G}]$$
 and  $E[Y \mid \mathcal{G}] = E[Y \mid Y] = Y$ .

The proof is a bit more involved.

## **Tower Property**

#### Proposition

Let  $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$  be  $\sigma$ -algebras. Let X be an  $\mathcal{A}$ -measurable random variable. Then

$$\mathsf{E}[\mathsf{E}[X\mid\mathcal{F}]\mid\mathcal{G}] = \mathsf{E}[\mathsf{E}[X\mid\mathcal{G}]\mid\mathcal{F}] = \mathsf{E}[X\mid\mathcal{G}].$$

#### Proof.

The second equality follows from the product property with X=1 and  $Y=\mathsf{E}[X\mid\mathcal{G}]$ , since Y is  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable.

If  $A \in \mathcal{G}$ , then  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  and

$$E[I_A E[E[X \mid \mathcal{F}] \mid \mathcal{G}]] = E[I_A E[X \mid \mathcal{F}]]$$

$$= E[I_A X]$$

$$= E[I_A E[X \mid \mathcal{G}]].$$

# Triangle Inequality

# Proposition

$$\mathsf{E}[|X| \mid \mathcal{G}] \geqslant |\mathsf{E}[X \mid \mathcal{G}]|$$

# Independence

## Proposition

If  $\sigma(X)$  and  $\mathcal G$  are independent  $\sigma$ -algebras, so

$$\Pr[A \cap B] = \Pr[A] \Pr[B]$$

for all  $A \in \sigma(X)$  and  $B \in \mathcal{G}$ , then

$$\mathsf{E}[X\mid\mathcal{G}]=\mathsf{E}[X].$$

#### Lack of Information

## Proposition

If 
$$Pr[A] \in \{0,1\}$$
 for all  $A \in \mathcal{G}$ , then

$$\mathsf{E}[X \mid \mathcal{G}] = \mathsf{E}[X].$$

#### **Best Prediction**

The conditional expectation  $E[X \mid \mathcal{G}]$  is supposed to be the "best" prediction one can make about X if we only have the information contained in  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{G}$ .

#### Extremal Case 1

If  $\sigma(X) \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ , then

$$\mathsf{E}[X \mid \mathcal{G}] = X.$$

#### Extremal Case 2

If  $\sigma(X)$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  are independent, then

$$\mathsf{E}[X\mid\mathcal{G}]=\mathsf{E}[X].$$

#### **Best Prediction**

# Proposition

Let  $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$  be  $\sigma$ -algebras. Let X be an  $\mathcal{A}$ -measurable random variable with  $\mathsf{E}[X^2] < \infty$ . Then for any  $\mathcal{G}$ -measurable random variable Y with  $\mathsf{E}[Y^2] < \infty$ , we have

$$\mathsf{E}[(X-Y)^2] \geqslant \mathsf{E}[(X-\mathsf{E}[X\mid\mathcal{G}])^2]$$

with equality if and only if  $Y = E[X \mid G]$ .