Quicksort

Andreas Klappenecker

Texas A&M University

© 2018 by Andreas Klappenecker. All rights reserved.

Suppose that we want to sort an array A[1..n] of length n.

Quicksort picks a **pivot** element *p* uniformly at random.

Then partitions the array A into three parts: left, pivot, and right.

$$\langle p | \langle p | \cdots | \langle p | p | \rangle p | \rangle p | \cdots | \rangle p$$

Partition requires n-1 comparisons with the pivot element p.

Then quicksort recursively sorts left and right parts.

Proposition

The expected number of comparisons made by randomized quicksort on an array of size n is at most $2n \ln n$.

Expected Number of Comparisons

Let *P* denote the random variable giving the sorting order of the pivot element *p*. Thus, P = k means that the pivot element is the *k*-th smallest element of the array.

Let X_n denote the number of comparison done by quicksort on an array of length n. Sorting an array of length n yields the expected number $E[X_n]$ of comparisons

$$\mathsf{E}[X_n] = \sum_{k=1}^n \mathsf{E}[X_n \mid P = k] \mathsf{Pr}[X = k].$$

Expected Number of Comparisons

Probability that Pivot is k-th Smallest Element

Since the pivot is chosen uniformly at random, we have

$$\Pr[P=k]=\frac{1}{n}.$$

Expected Number of Comparisons

Let $E[X_n]$ denote the expected number of comparisons for an array of length *n*. Then

$$\mathsf{E}[X_n \mid P = k] = (n-1) + \mathsf{E}[X_{k-1}] + \mathsf{E}[X_{n-k}],$$

since we need n-1 comparisons with the pivot. If the pivot is the k-th smallest element, then the left partition has k-1 elements, and the right partition has n-k elements.

Expected Number of Comparisons

Let $T(n) = E[X_n]$ denote the expected number of comparisons for arrays of length n.

$$T(n) = \mathsf{E}[X_n] = \sum_{k=1}^n (n-1 + \mathsf{E}[X_{k-1}] + \mathsf{E}[X_{n-k}]) \operatorname{Pr}[P = k]$$

= $\sum_{k=1}^n (n-1 + T(k-1) + T(n-k)) \frac{1}{n}$
= $n-1 + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n (T(k-1) + T(n-k)).$

Let T(n) denote the expected number of comparisons in quicksort for arrays of length n.

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} n - 1 + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} T(k) & \text{if } n > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } n = 0. \end{cases}$$

Our guess is that $T(n) \leq cn \ln n$, since most pivots lead to splits that are not too imbalanced. It turns out that we can choose c = 2.

Proof by Induction

Proposition

 $T(n) \leq 2n \ln n.$

Proof.

Basis. The inequality holds for n = 0, since T(0) = 0 and $\lim_{x\to 0} x \ln x = 0$, so $n \ln n = 0$ for n = 0.

Inductive Step. We assume that $T(k) \leq 2k \ln k$ holds for all k in the range $0 \leq k < n$. We need to show that this implies

 $T(n) \leq 2n \ln n.$

Proof by Induction

$$T(n) = n - 1 + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} T(k)$$

$$\leq n - 1 + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} 2k \ln k$$

$$\leq n - 1 + \frac{2}{n} \int_{1}^{n} x \ln x \, dx$$

$$= n - 1 + \frac{2}{n} \left(n^{2} \ln n - \frac{n^{2}}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \leq 2n \ln n. \Box$$

Since $2x \ln x$ is monotonically increasing on [1, n], we are allowed to bound the sum by the integral

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} 2k \ln k \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \int_{k}^{k+1} 2x \ln x \, dx$$
$$= \int_{1}^{n} 2x \ln x \, dx$$
$$= \left(x^{2} \ln x - \frac{x^{2}}{2}\right) \Big|_{1}^{n}$$