

Undecidable Problems

Andreas Klappenecker

Post's Correspondence Problem

Given: A finite alphabet A , a finite set of pairs (x,y) of strings over the alphabet A .

Goal: Find a string over the alphabet A that can be composed in two different ways:

- by concatenating strings $x_1x_2\dots x_n$ from the first components
 - by concatenating strings $y_1y_2\dots y_n$ from the second components
- of a sequence $(x_1,y_1), (x_2,y_2), \dots, (x_n,y_n)$ of the given pairs.

PCP Example 1

Given: Alphabet $A=\{a,b\}$, $P = \{ (bab, a), (ab, abb), (a, ba) \}$

Solution: abbaba

$x_2 x_1 x_3 = ab \parallel bab \parallel a$

$y_2 y_1 y_3 = abb \parallel a \parallel ba$

Important: You need to select a sequence of pairs from P

Projecting on first components must be the same as projecting on the second components. Reordering is not allowed.

PCP Exercise

Given: Set of pairs $P = \{ (1, 111), (10111,10), (10,0) \}$ over $A=\{0,1\}$

Find a solution to Post's correspondence problem.

Solution

Given: Set of pairs $P = \{ (1, 111), (10111,10), (10,0) \}$ over $A=\{0,1\}$

Find a solution to Post's correspondence problem.

Solution: $(2,1,1,3)$

$$x_2 x_1 x_1 x_3 = 10111 \parallel 1 \parallel 1 \parallel 10 = 101111110$$

$$y_2 y_1 y_1 y_3 = 10 \parallel 111 \parallel 111 \parallel 0 = 101111110$$

PCP Example

The Post's correspondence problem with

$P = \{ (001,0), (01,011), (01,101), (10,001) \}$ over $A = \{0,1\}$

has a solution, but the smallest requires $n=66$ words!

Main Result

Theorem: The Post's correspondence problem is undecidable when the alphabet has at least two elements.

Idea of the proof: Reduce the halting problem onto the Post's correspondence problem. This is often done via an intermediate step, where a RAM machine with a single register is used.

Context Free Grammars

Problem: Is a given context-free grammar G unambiguous?

[A context-free grammar G is unambiguous iff every string s in $L(G)$ has a unique left-most derivation. The reference grammars given for many programming languages are often ambiguous (e.g. dangling else problem). Sometimes formal languages have ambiguous and unambiguous grammars.]

This problem is undecidable. One can reduce the PCP problem to this one.

Example

The regular language $\{ \epsilon, a, aa, aaa, aaaa, aaaaa, \dots \}$

Ambiguous grammar: $A \rightarrow aA \mid Aa \mid \epsilon$

Unambiguous grammar: $A \rightarrow aA \mid \epsilon$

Example 2

The context free grammar $A \rightarrow A + A \mid A - A \mid a$

is ambiguous, since $a + a + a$ has two different left-most derivations.

$A \rightarrow A + A \rightarrow a + A \rightarrow a + A + A \rightarrow a + a + A \rightarrow a + a + a$

and

$A \rightarrow A + A \rightarrow A + A + A \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow a + a + a$

(replacing left-most nonterminal A by $A+A$)

Example 3 (Dangling Else)

```
Statement = if Condition then Statement |  
           if Condition then Statement else Statement  
           | ...
```

The following statement can be parsed in two different ways:

```
if a then if b then s else s2
```

We can parse it as

```
if a then (if b then s) else s2
```

or as

```
if a then (if b then s else s2)
```

This is an example of an ambiguous language.

Chomsky Hierarchy

The classification of formal grammars by Noam Chomsky imposes restrictions on the production rules $u \rightarrow v$:

(0) no restrictions

(1) no shortening: $|u| \leq |v|$

(2) context free: u is a nonterminal symbol, $v \neq \epsilon$

(3) (right) regular: u is a nonterminal symbol, v is a single terminal symbol, or a nonterminal symbol followed by a terminal symbol, start symbol can produce the empty string.

Recursive Languages

A formal language is called **recursive** if and only if there exists a Turing machine such that on input of a finite input string

- halts and accept if the string is in the language,
- and halts and rejects otherwise.

Recursive languages correspond to decidable problems.

Examples and Counterexamples

Every context-sensitive grammar is recursive.

There exist recursive languages that are not context-sensitive.

The language corresponding to the Halting problem is not recursive.

Recursive Enumerable

The languages that are accepted by a Turing machine are called recursively enumerable languages.

The type-0 formal languages are precisely the recursively enumerable languages.