

# CSCE 411 Design and Analysis of Algorithms

## HW5: Solutions

### Q - 23.1-9

Suppose that  $T'$  is not a minimum weight spanning tree in graph  $G'$  and  $S'$  is a minimum weight spanning tree in  $G'$ . Then, if we joined the subset of edges  $T \setminus T'$  to  $S'$ , then we would obtain a spanning tree  $S$  in the graph  $G$ . The weight of  $S$  would be smaller than the weight of  $T$  and this contradicts the condition that  $T$  is a minimum weight spanning tree. Thus, our assumption is false and  $T'$  is a minimum weight spanning tree in the graph  $G'$ .

### Q - 22.3

(a)

We can use the Bellman-Ford algorithm on a suitable weighted, directed graph  $G = (V, E)$ , which we form as follows. There is one vertex in  $V$  for each currency, and for each pair of currency  $c_i$  and  $c_j$ , there is directed edges  $(v_i, v_j)$  and  $(v_j, v_i)$ . (Thus,  $|V| = n$  and  $|E| = \binom{n}{2}$ ) To determine edge weights, we start by observing that

$$R[i_1, i_2] \cdot R[i_2, i_3] \cdots R[i_{k-1}, i_k] \cdot R[i_k, i_1] > 1$$

if and only if

$$\frac{1}{R[i_1, i_2]} \cdot \frac{1}{R[i_2, i_3]} \cdots \frac{1}{R[i_{k-1}, i_k]} \cdot \frac{1}{R[i_k, i_1]} < 1$$

Taking logs of both sides of the inequality above, we express this condition as

$$\ln \frac{1}{R[i_1, i_2]} + \ln \frac{1}{R[i_2, i_3]} + \cdots + \ln \frac{1}{R[i_{k-1}, i_k]} + \ln \frac{1}{R[i_k, i_1]} < 0$$

Therefore, if we define the weight of edge  $(v_i, v_j)$  as

$$\begin{aligned} w(v_i, v_j) &= \ln \frac{1}{R[i, j]} \\ &= -\ln R[i, j] \end{aligned}$$

then we want to find whether there exists a negative-weight cycle in  $G$  with these edge weights.

We can determine whether there exists a negative-weight cycle in  $G$  by adding an extra vertex  $v_0$  with 0-weight edges  $(v_0, v_i)$  for all  $v_i \in V$ , running BELLMAN-FORD from  $v_0$ , and using the boolean result of BELLMAN-FORD (which is TRUE if there are no negative-weight cycles and FALSE if there is a negative-weight cycle) to guide our answer. That is, we invert the boolean result of BELLMAN-FORD.

This method works because adding the new vertex  $v_0$  with 0-weight edges from  $v_0$  to all other vertices cannot introduce any new cycles, yet it ensures that all negative-weight cycles are reachable from  $v_0$ .

It takes  $\theta(n^2)$  time to create  $G$ , which has  $\theta(n^2)$  edges. Then it takes  $\theta(n^3)$  time to run BELLMAN-FORD. Thus, the total time is  $\theta(n^3)$ .

Another way to determine whether a negative-weight cycle exists is to create  $G$  and, without adding  $v_0$  and its incident edges, run either of the all-pairs shortest-paths algorithms. If the resulting shortest-path distance matrix has any negative values on the diagonal, then there is a negative-weight cycle.

---

**Algorithm 1** Algorithm for (a)

---

```

1: procedure hasNegCyc( $(V,E,c) : \text{WeightedGraph}$ ) : boolean
2:    $n = \text{card}(V)$ 
3:    $\text{distance} : \text{Array}[0, \dots, n][0, \dots, n]$  of Real
4:
5:   for  $i = 0$  to  $n - 1$  do
6:     for  $j = 0$  to  $n - 1$  do
7:       if  $(i, j) \in E$  then
8:          $\text{distance}[i][j] = c(i, j)$ 
9:       else
10:         $\text{distance}[i][j] = +\infty$ 
11:
12:   for  $k = 0$  to  $n - 1$  do
13:     for  $i = 0$  to  $n - 1$  do
14:       for  $j = 0$  to  $n - 1$  do
15:         if  $\text{distance}[i][j] > \text{distance}[i][k] + \text{distance}[k][j]$  then
16:            $\text{distance}[i][j] = \text{distance}[i][k] + \text{distance}[k][j]$ 
17:
18:   for  $i = 0$  to  $n - 1$  do
19:     if  $\text{distance}[i][i] < 0$  then return true
   return false

```

---

(b)

We ran BELLMAN-FORD to solve part(a), we only need to find the vertices of a negative-weight cycle. We can do so as follows. First, relax all the edges once more. Since there is a negative-weight cycle, the  $d$  value of some vertex  $u$  will change. We just need to repeatedly follow the  $\pi$  values until we get back to  $u$ . In other words, above routine has to be modified such that it memorizes the shortest path.

The running time of this algorithm is still  $O(n^3)$ , because the *nextNode* loop loops  $n$  times at maximum.

---

**Algorithm 2** Algorithm for (b)

---

```
1: procedure hasNegCyc((V,E,c) : WeightedGraph) : List of List of Node
2:    $n = \text{card}(V)$ 
3:    $\text{distance} : \text{Array}[0, \dots, n][0, \dots, n]$  of Real
4:    $\text{nextNode} = \text{Array}[0, \dots, n - 1][0, \dots, n - 1]$  of Node
5:
6:   for  $i = 0$  to  $n - 1$  do
7:     for  $j = 0$  to  $n - 1$  do
8:       if  $(i, j) \in E$  then
9:          $\text{distance}[i][j] = c(i, j)$ 
10:         $\text{nextNode}[i][j] = j$ 
11:       else
12:          $\text{distance}[i][j] = +\infty$ 
13:          $\text{nextNode}[i][j] = \text{nil}$ 
14:
15:     for  $k = 0$  to  $n - 1$  do
16:       for  $i = 0$  to  $n - 1$  do
17:         for  $j = 0$  to  $n - 1$  do
18:           if  $\text{distance}[i][j] > \text{distance}[i][k] + \text{distance}[k][j]$  then
19:              $\text{distance}[i][j] = \text{distance}[i][k] + \text{distance}[k][j]$ 
20:              $\text{nextNode}[i][j] = \text{nextNode}[i][k]$ 
21:
22:    $\text{result} : \text{List of List of Node} = \phi$ 
23:   for  $i = 0$  to  $n - 1$  do
24:     if  $\text{distance}[i][i] < 0$  then
25:        $\text{negcyc} : \text{List of Node} = \langle i \rangle$ 
26:        $\text{runnode} = i$ 
27:       repeat
28:          $\text{runnode} = \text{nextNode}[\text{runnode}][i]$ 
29:          $\text{negcyc.pushBack}(\text{runnode})$ 
30:       until  $\text{runnode} == i$ 
31:        $\text{result.pushFront}(\text{negcyc})$ 
return  $\text{result}$ 
```

---