L2: Review of probability and statistics

Probability

- Definition of probability
- Axioms and properties
- Conditional probability
- Bayes theorem

Random variables

- Definition of a random variable
- Cumulative distribution function
- Probability density function
- Statistical characterization of random variables

Random vectors

- Mean vector
- Covariance matrix

The Gaussian random variable

Review of probability theory

Definitions (informal)

- Probabilities are numbers assigned to events that indicate "*how likely*" it is that the event will occur when a random experiment is performed
- A probability law for a random experiment is a rule that assigns probabilities to the events in the experiment
- The sample space S of a random experiment is the set of all possible outcomes

Axioms of probability

- Axiom I: $P[A_i] \ge 0$
- Axiom II: P[S] = 1
- Axiom III: $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset \Rightarrow P[A_i \cup A_j] = P[A_i] + P[A_j]$



Warm-up exercise

- I show you three colored cards
 - One BLUE on both sides
 - One RED on both sides
 - One BLUE on one side, RED on the other



- I shuffle the three cards, then pick one and show you one side only.
 The side visible to you is RED
 - Obviously, the card has to be either A or C, right?
- I am willing to bet \$1 that the other side of the card has the same color, and need someone in class to bet another \$1 that it is the other color
 - On the average we will end up even, *right*?
 - Let's try it!

More properties of probability

- $P[A^C] = 1 P[A]$
- $P[A] \leq 1$
- $P[\emptyset] = 0$
- $given \{A_1 \dots A_N\}, \{A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset, \forall ij\} \Rightarrow P[\bigcup_{k=1}^N A_k] = \sum_{k=1}^N P[A_k]$
- $P[A_1 \cup A_2] = P[A_1] + P[A_2] P[A_1 \cap A_2]$

$$- P[\bigcup_{k=1}^{N} A_{k}] = \\ \sum_{k=1}^{N} P[A_{k}] - \sum_{j < k}^{N} P[A_{j} \cap A_{k}] + \dots + (-1)^{N+1} P[A_{1} \cap A_{2} \dots \cap A_{N}]$$

 $-A_1 \subset A_2 \Rightarrow P[A_1] \le P[A_2]$

Conditional probability

 If A and B are two events, the probability of event A when we already know that event B has occurred is

$$P[A|B] = \frac{P[A \cap B]}{P[B]} \quad if \ P[B] > 0$$

- This conditional probability P[A|B] is read:
 - the "conditional probability of A conditioned on B", or simply
 - the "probability of A given B"

- Interpretation

- The new evidence "*B* has occurred" has the following effects
 - The original sample space S (the square) becomes B (the rightmost circle)
 - The event A becomes $A \cap B$
- P[B] simply re-normalizes the probability of events that occur jointly with B



Theorem of total probability

- Let $B_1, B_2 \dots B_N$ be a partition of S (mutually exclusive that add to S)
- Any event A can be represented as
- $A = A \cap S = A \cap (B_1 \cup B_2 \dots B_N) = (A \cap B_1) \cup (A \cap B_2) \dots (A \cap B_N)$
- Since $B_1, B_2 \dots B_N$ are mutually exclusive, then

 $P[A] = P[A \cap B_1] + P[A \cap B_2] + \dots + P[A \cap B_N]$

- and, therefore

 $P[A] = P[A|B_1]P[B_1] + \cdots P[A|B_N]P[B_N] = \sum_{k=1}^{N} P[A|B_k]P[B_k]$



Bayes theorem

- Assume $\{B_1, B_2 \dots B_N\}$ is a partition of S
- Suppose that event A occurs
- What is the probability of event B_i ?
- Using the definition of conditional probability and the Theorem of total probability we obtain

$$P[B_j|A] = \frac{P[A \cap B_j]}{P[A]} = \frac{P[A|B_j]P[B_j]}{\sum_{k=1}^N P[A|B_k]P[B_k]}$$

 This is known as Bayes Theorem or Bayes Rule, and is (one of) the most useful relations in probability and statistics

Bayes theorem and statistical pattern recognition

- When used for pattern classification, BT is generally expressed as

$$P[\omega_j | x] = \frac{p[x | \omega_j] P[\omega_j]}{\sum_{k=1}^{N} p[x | \omega_k] P[\omega_k]} = \frac{p[x | \omega_j] P[\omega_j]}{p[x]}$$

- where ω_j is the *j*-th class (e.g., phoneme) and *x* is the feature/observation vector (e.g., vector of MFCCs)
- A typical decision rule is to choose class ω_j with highest $P[\omega_j|x]$
 - Intuitively, we choose the class that is more "likely" given observation x
- Each term in the Bayes Theorem has a special name
 - $P[\omega_j]$ prior probability (of class ω_j)
 - $P[\omega_j | x]$ posterior probability (of class ω_j given the observation x)
 - $p[x|\omega_j]$ <u>likelihood</u> (probability of observation x given class ω_j)
 - p[x] normalization constant (does not affect the decision)

Example

- Consider a clinical problem where we need to decide if a patient has a particular medical condition on the basis of an imperfect test
 - Someone with the condition may go undetected (false-negative)
 - Someone free of the condition may yield a positive result (false-positive)
- Nomenclature
 - The true-negative rate P(NEG|¬COND) of a test is called its SPECIFICITY
 - The true-positive rate P(POS|COND) of a test is called its SENSITIVITY
- Problem
 - Assume a population of 10,000 with a 1% prevalence for the condition
 - Assume that we design a test with 98% specificity and 90% sensitivity
 - Assume you take the test, and the result comes out POSITIVE
 - What is the probability that you have the condition?
- Solution
 - Fill in the joint frequency table next slide, or
 - Apply Bayes rule

	TEST IS	TEST IS	ROW TOTAL
	POSITIVE	NEGATIVE	
	True-positive	False-negative	
HAS CONDITION	P(POS COND)	P(NEG COND)	
	False-positive	True-negative	
	P(POS ¬COND)	P(NEG ¬COND)	
CONDITION			
COLUMN TOTAL			

	TEST IS	TEST IS	ROW TOTAL
	POSITIVE	NEGATIVE	
	True-positive	False-negative	
HAS CONDITION	P(POS COND)	P(NEG COND)	
	100×0.90	100×(1-0.90)	100
FREE OF CONDITION	False-positive	True-negative	
	P(POS ¬COND)	P(NEG ¬COND)	
	9,900×(1-0.98)	9,900×0.98	9,900
COLUMN TOTAL	288	9,712	10,000

Applying Bayes rule

P[cond|+] = $= \frac{P[+|cond]P[cond]}{P[+]} =$

 $= \frac{P[+|cond]P[cond]}{P[+|cond]P[cond] + P[+|\neg cond]P[\neg cond]} =$

 $= \frac{0.90 \times 0.01}{0.90 \times 0.01 + (1 - 0.98) \times 0.99} =$

= 0.3125

CSCE 666 Pattern Analysis | Ricardo Gutierrez-Osuna | CSE@TAMU

Random variables

- When we perform a random experiment we are usually interested in some measurement or numerical attribute of the outcome
 - e.g., weights in a population of subjects, execution times when benchmarking CPUs, shape parameters when performing ATR
- These examples lead to the concept of random variable
 - A random variable X is a function that assigns a real number $X(\xi)$ to each outcome ξ in the sample space of a random experiment
 - $X(\xi)$ maps from all possible outcomes in sample space onto the real line
- The function that assigns values to each outcome is fixed and deterministic, i.e., as in the rule "count the number of heads in three coin tosses"
 - Randomness in X is due to the underlying randomness of the outcome ξ of the experiment
- Random variables can be
 - Discrete, e.g., the resulting number after rolling a dice
 - Continuous, e.g., the weight of a sampled individual



Cumulative distribution function (cdf)

- The cumulative distribution function $F_X(x)$ of a random variable X is defined as the probability of the event $\{X \le x\}$ $F_X(x) = P[X \le x] - \infty < x < \infty$
- Intuitively, $F_X(b)$ is the long-term proportion of times when $X(\xi) \le b$



- Properties of the cdf
 - $0 \le F_X(x) \le 1$
 - $\lim_{x\to\infty} F_X(x) = 1$
 - $\lim_{x \to -\infty} F_X(x) = 0$
 - $F_X(a) \leq F_X(b)$ if $a \leq b$
 - $F_X(b) = \lim_{h \to 0} F_X(b+h) = F_X(b^+)$



Probability density function (pdf)

- The probability density function $f_X(x)$ of a continuous random variable X, if it exists, is defined as the derivative of $F_X(x)$

$$f_X(x) = \frac{dF_X(x)}{dx}$$



 For discrete random variables, the equivalent to the pdf is the probability mass function

$$f_X(x) = \frac{\Delta F_X(x)}{\Delta x}$$

- Properties

- $f_X(x) > 0$
- $P[a < x < b] = \int_a^b f_X(x) dx$
- $F_X(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x f_X(x) dx$
- $1 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_X(x) dx$
- $f_X(x|A) = \frac{d}{dx}F_X(x|A)$ where $F_X(x|A) = \frac{P[\{X < x\} \cap A]}{P[A]}$ if P[A] > 0





- What is the probability of somebody weighting 200 lb?
 - According to the pdf, this is about 0.62
 - This number seems reasonable, right?
- Now, what is the probability of somebody weighting 124.876 lb?
 - According to the pdf, this is about 0.43
 - But, intuitively, we know that the probability should be zero (or very, very small)

• How do we explain this paradox?

- The pdf DOES NOT define a probability, but a probability DENSITY!
- To obtain the actual probability we must integrate the pdf in an interval
- So we should have asked the question: what is the probability of somebody weighting 124.876 lb plus or minus 2 lb?



- The probability mass function is a 'true' probability (reason why we call it a 'mass' as opposed to a 'density')
 - The pmf is indicating that the probability of any number when rolling a fair dice is the same for all numbers, and equal to 1/6, a very legitimate answer
 - The pmf DOES NOT need to be integrated to obtain the probability (it cannot be integrated in the first place)

Statistical characterization of random variables

- The cdf or the pdf are SUFFICIENT to fully characterize a r.v.
- However, a r.v. can be PARTIALLY characterized with other measures
- Expectation (center of mass of a density)

$$E[X] = \mu = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x f_X(x) dx$$

Variance (spread about the mean)

$$var[X] = \sigma^2 = E[(X - E[X])^2] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (x - \mu)^2 f_X(x) dx$$

Standard deviation

$$std[X] = \sigma = var[X]^{1/2}$$

N-th moment

$$E[X^N] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^N f_X(x) dx$$

Random vectors

- An extension of the concept of a random variable
 - A random vector \underline{X} is a function that assigns a vector of real numbers to each outcome ξ in sample space S
 - We generally denote a random vector by a column vector
- The notions of cdf and pdf are replaced by 'joint cdf' and 'joint pdf'
 - Given random vector $\underline{X} = [x_1, x_2 \dots x_N]^T$ we define the joint cdf as $F_{\underline{X}}(\underline{x}) = P_{\underline{X}}[\{X_1 \le x_1\} \cap \{X_2 \le x_2\} \dots \{X_N \le x_N\}]$
 - and the joint pdf as

$$f_{\underline{X}}(\underline{x}) = \frac{\partial^N F_{\underline{X}}(\underline{x})}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2 \dots \partial x_N}$$

- The term <u>marginal pdf</u> is used to represent the pdf of a subset of all the random vector dimensions
 - A marginal pdf is obtained by integrating out variables that are of no interest
 - e.g., for a 2D random vector $\underline{X} = [x_1, x_2]^T$, the marginal pdf of x_1 is

$$f_{X_1}(x_1) = \int_{x_2 = -\infty}^{x_2 = +\infty} f_{X_1 X_2}(x_1 x_2) dx_2$$

Statistical characterization of random vectors

- A random vector is also fully characterized by its joint cdf or joint pdf
- Alternatively, we can (partially) describe a random vector with measures similar to those defined for scalar random variables
- Mean vector

$$E[X] = \underline{\mu} = \left[E[X_1], E[X_2] \dots E[X_N] \right]^T = [\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots \mu_N]^T$$

Covariance matrix

$$cov[X] = \Sigma = E\left[\left(\underline{X} - \underline{\mu}\right)\left(\underline{X} - \underline{\mu}\right)^{T}\right] = \\ \begin{bmatrix} E[(x_{1} - \mu_{1})^{2}] & \dots & E[(x_{1} - \mu_{1})(x_{N} - \mu_{N})] \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ E[(x_{1} - \mu_{1})(x_{N} - \mu_{N})] & \dots & E[(x_{N} - \mu_{N})^{2}] \end{bmatrix} = \\ = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{1}^{2} & \dots & c_{1N} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ c_{1N} & \dots & \sigma_{N}^{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

- The covariance matrix indicates the tendency of each pair of features (dimensions in a random vector) to vary together, i.e., to <u>co-vary</u>*
 - The covariance has several important properties
 - If x_i and x_k tend to increase together, then $c_{ik} > 0$
 - If x_i tends to decrease when x_k increases, then $c_{ik} < 0$
 - If x_i and x_k are uncorrelated, then $c_{ik} = 0$
 - $|c_{ik}| \leq \sigma_1 \sigma_k$, where σ_i is the standard deviation of x_i
 - $c_{ii} = \sigma_i^2 = var[x_i]$
 - The covariance terms can be expressed as $c_{ii} = \sigma_i^2$ and $c_{ik} = \rho_{ik}\sigma_i\sigma_k$
 - where ρ_{ik} is called the correlation coefficient



CSCE 666 Pattern Analysis | Ricardo Gutierrez-Osuna | CSE@TAMU

A numerical example

Given the following samples from a 3D distribution

- Compute the covariance matrix
- Generate scatter plots for every pair of vars.
- Can you observe any relationships between the covariance and the scatter plots?
- You may work your solution in the templates below



	Variables (or features)		
Examples	x ₁	x ₂	X ₃
1	2	2	4
2	3	4	6
3	5	4	2
4	6	6	4



The Normal or Gaussian distribution

– The multivariate Normal distribution $N(\mu, \Sigma)$ is defined as

$$f_X(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2} |\Sigma|^{1/2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x-\mu)^T \Sigma^{-1}(x-\mu)}$$

- For a single dimension, this expression is reduced to

$$f_X(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$



Gaussian distributions are very popular since

- Parameters (μ, Σ) uniquely characterize the normal distribution
- If all variables x_i are uncorrelated $(E[x_ix_k] = E[x_i]E[x_k])$, then
 - Variables are also independent $(P[x_i x_k] = P[x_i]P[x_k])$, and
 - $-\Sigma$ is diagonal, with the individual variances in the main diagonal
- Central Limit Theorem (next slide)
- The marginal and conditional densities are also Gaussian
- Any linear transformation of any N jointly Gaussian rv's results in N rv's that are also Gaussian
 - For $X = [X_1X_2 \dots X_N]^T$ jointly Gaussian, and $A_{N \times N}$ invertible, then Y = AX is also jointly Gaussian

$$f_Y(y) = \frac{f_X(A^{-1}y)}{|A|}$$

Central Limit Theorem

- Given <u>any</u> distribution with a mean μ and variance σ^2 , the sampling distribution of the mean approaches a normal distribution with mean μ and variance σ^2/N as the sample size N increases
 - No matter what the shape of the original distribution is, the sampling distribution of the mean approaches a normal distribution
 - *N* is the sample size used to compute the mean, not the overall number of samples in the data
- Example: 500 experiments are performed using a uniform distribution
 - N = 1
 - One sample is drawn from the distribution and its mean is recorded (500 times)
 - The histogram resembles a uniform distribution, as one would expect
 - N = 4
 - Four samples are drawn and the mean of the four samples is recorded (500 times)
 - The histogram starts to look more Gaussian
 - As *N* grows, the shape of the histograms resembles a Normal distribution more closely

